

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126998
Title of the Manuscript:	Pyramiding descriptors contributing drought stress tolerance to six polyembryonic rootstocks of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As drought is a major problem worldwide and in India, therefore, exploring possible germplasm that has some drought tolerance is an excellent idea. This article provides a baseline for the cultivation of Mangifera indica which can perform better under drought conditions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is explaining all section and conclude end results very clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, sections are well arranged.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research like this needed in current period as the land affected by salt or drought can be utilized in producing valuable plants that can not only boost local production but can enhance economy as well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate and updated.	
Minor REVISION comments	The article has been written in very effective language; each part is well-defined.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syeda Q. Afshan
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan