

25 country (Anonymous, 2021). India is the world's largest mung bean producer covering 65% of
26 the world's acreage and 54% of global production, (Jayappa *et al.*, 2017). According to
27 Vavilov (1926), mung bean is native to India and Central Asia. In India, it is grown in about
28 4.5 million hectares with a total production of 2.5 million tons with a productivity of 584 kg/ha
29 (Anonymous 2021). In Madhya Pradesh mung bean area is 4.18 lakh hectares with a production
30 of 3.46 lakh tons and the productivity is 828 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2022). In Jabalpur mung bean
31 area is 428 ha with a production of 241 metric tons and the productivity is 563 kg/ha
32 (Anonymous, 2021).

33 Mung bean is attacked by a variety of insect pests including jassid (*Empoasca kerri*), thrips
34 (*Caliothrips indicus*), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), semilooper (*Plusia orichalcea*), cutworm
35 (*Agrotis ipsilon*), galerucid beetle (*Madurasia obscurella*), tortricid moth (*Cydia ptychora*),
36 pod borer (*Maruca testulalis*), pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*), stem fly (*Ophiomyia*
37 *phaseoli*), green bug (*Nezara viridula*) (Nitharwal and Kumawat, 2013), out of which the most
38 significant are sucking pests (jassid and whitefly) (Islam *et al.*, 2008). Jassid nymphs and
39 adults suck the cell sap from the ventral surface of leaves, causing "Hopper burn" if they do so
40 for an extended period of time period. As a result of their feeding, the affected parts turn yellow,
41 the leaves wrinkle and curl downwards, and eventually fall off. Also, whitefly nymphs and
42 adults infest plants by draining the fluids from new growth, resulting in stunted development,
43 leaf yellowing, and reduced yields. Plants become weak and susceptible to disease. Whitefly
44 serves as a vector for the spread of MYMV (Sekar and Nalini, 2017). The yield losses caused
45 by insect pest complexes on various mung bean cultivars have been reported to be 32.97% on
46 average (Duraimurugan and Tyagi, 2014). All sucking insect pests cause leaf blistering and
47 cupping, as well as a loss of plant vitality during the early growth stage.

48

49

50

MATERIAL AND METHODS

51 The field experiment was carried out at the Integrated Farming System Unit of the Department
52 of Agronomy, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh) during
53 the *Kharif* season 2022. Mung bean variety Shikha was shown on the plot size 11×6 m² with
54 30 cm row-to-row and 10 cm plant-to-plant distance. All the agronomical procedures were
55 fulfilled. Plant protection equipment and insecticides were not used during the field
56 experiment. Observation of insect pests and natural enemies was recorded on 25 randomly
57 selected plants twice in a Standard week from the germination to maturity of the crop.
58 Observation of jassid population was recorded on two leaves, uppers, middle, and lower per
59 plant. The population of whiteflies was counted using a caging method based on the number of
60 adults per plant. Ladybird beetle and spider were recorded by counting the adult per plant while
61 dragonfly and damselfly were recorded per 3 sweeps. Yellow mosaic virus infestation (%) was
62 calculated by tagging each plant in the plot.

Yellow mosaic infected plants in a plot

$$\text{Yellow mosaic infection (\%)} = \frac{\text{Yellow mosaic infected plants in a plot}}{\text{Total no. of plants in a plot}} \times 100$$

63 Analysis of data:

64 Correlation and regression of the abiotic factors on major insects were worked out by using the
65 formula as suggested by Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

$$66 \quad \text{Correlation 'r'} = \frac{\sum XY - \frac{\sum X \cdot \sum Y}{n}}{\sqrt{\left\{ \sum X^2 - \frac{(\sum X)^2}{n} \right\} \left\{ \sum Y^2 - \frac{(\sum Y)^2}{n} \right\}}}$$

67 Where,

68 X = Mean of first factor, Y = Mean of second factor, n = Total no. of observations, r =
 69 Correlation coefficient

70 Regression $\hat{Y} = a + bx$ (R^2)

71 a = Intercept, b = Regression coefficient, R^2 = Coefficient of multiple determination

72 Test of significance 'r'

73
$$t = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \sqrt{N-2}$$

74 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

75 **Table 1. Incidence of major insect pests and natural enemies on green gram during 2022-23**

SMW	Insect pests		Yellow mosaic virus affected plants (%)	Natural enemies			
	Whitefly	Jassid		Ladybird beetle	Spider	Dragonfly	Damselfly
	(Adult/plant)	(Adult / 6 leaves)		Adult /plant		Adult/sweep	
35	2	3.56	0.00	0.1	0.01	0.17	1.17
36	3.89	4.32	0.00	0.1	0.06	0.83	1
37	6.87	6.56	0.00	0.76	0.22	1.17	0.83
38	5.65	5.89	0.00	0.62	0.32	0.5	0.5
39	3.45	3.12	0.00	0.66	0.26	0.17	1.17
40	4.12	4.78	5.56	0.72	0.3	0.17	1.83
41	5.12	5.23	14.48	0.68	0.36	1.5	0.83

42	3.65	4.45	19.21	0.5	0.28	0.83	0.5
43	3.32	2.34	22.87	0.3	0.12	0.33	0.33
SE(d)	2.72	1.26	8.84	0.3	0.12	0.46	0.43
Mean	4.23	4.47	6.90	0.49	0.21	0.63	0.91

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2. Correlation (r) of abiotic factors on major insect pests and their natural enemies on green gram during, 2022-23

Weather parameter	Whitefly	Jassid	Yellow mosaic virus affected plants (%)	Natural enemies			
				Ladybird beetle	Spider	Dragonfly	Damselfly
	(Adult/plant)	(Adult / 6 leaves)	Adult /plant	Adult/sweep			
Maximum Temperature (°C)	-0.19	-0.02	-0.47	-0.32	-0.41	-0.06	0.74*
Minimum Temperature (°C)	0.31	0.55	-0.90	0.11	-0.02	0.12	0.55
Sunshine (hrs)	-0.29	-0.49	0.93	0.03	0.18	0.07	-0.30
Rainfall (mm)	0.38	0.57	-0.51	-0.03	-0.26	0.39	0.16
Morning relative humidity (%)	0.40	0.52	-0.81	0.36	0.24	0.07	0.29
Evening relative humidity (%)	0.54	0.72*	-0.89	0.26	0.10	0.17	0.38
Wind speed (Km/hr)	0.67*	0.71*	-0.63	0.36	0.11	0.45	-0.0005
Morning VP (mm)	0.28	0.50	-0.92	0.05	-0.10	0.07	0.52
Evening VP (mm)	0.30	0.54	-0.94	0.08	-0.05	0.05	0.52
Evaporation (mm)	0.22	0.39	0.13	0.19	0.14	0.70*	0.20
Rainy days	0.55	0.69*	-0.56	0.12	0.09	0.17	-0.17

* Significant at 5%

79 **Table 3. Relationship (r) between major insect pests and natural enemies of green gram**
 80 **during 2022-23**

Natural enemies	Whitefly	Jassid
	(Adult/plant)	(Adult / 6 leaves)
Ladybird beetle (Adult /plant)	0.69*	0.56
Spider (Adult /plant)	0.57	0.49
Dragonfly (Adult /sweep)	0.63	0.60
Damselfly (Adult /sweep)	-0.19	0.02

81 * Significant at 5%

82 **Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)**

83 Whitefly population was observed from 35th to 43rd SMW. The lowest population was recorded
 84 in the 35th SMW with a mean population of 2 adult/plant and the highest population was observed
 85 during the 37th SMW with a mean population of 6.87 adult /plant. Correlation analysis showed
 86 that the population of whiteflies was positively significant with wind speed ($r = 0.67$) and showed
 87 a non-significant correlation with other weather parameters.

88 The results are in alignment with that of Yadav and Singh (2015) revealed that the whitefly
 89 population ranged from 0.2-5.2/plant. Kumar and Singh (2016) reported the mean whitefly at its
 90 peak of 8.07 adult/plant at 37th SMW.

91

92 **Jassid, *Empoasca kerri* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae)**

93 The incidence of jassid population was observed from 35th to 43rd SMW. The lowest
94 population was recorded in 43rd SMW with a mean population of 2.34 adult/ 6 leaves and the
95 highest population was observed during 37th SMW with a mean population of 6.56 adult/ 6 leaves.
96 Correlation studies showed that the population of jassid was positively significant with evening
97 relative humidity and wind speed and rainy days ($r = 0.72, 0.71, \text{ and } 0.69$) and showed a non-
98 significant correlation with other weather parameters.

99 The results are in alignment with that of Kumar and Singh (2016) they revealed that the highest
100 population of jassid 1.43 nymphs and adult/plant was recorded during the 37th SMW. Kundu *et*
101 *al.* (2021) Studied correlation and indicated that the jassid population had a significant positive
102 correlation with relative humidity.

103 **Infection of yellow mosaic virus in green gram.**

104 The infection of the yellow mosaic virus was recorded at 40th to 43 SMW. The lowest
105 infection was recorded during the 40th SMW with 5.56% and the peak infection during the 43rd
106 SMW was 22.87%. The relationship between YVMV infection percent and major weather
107 parameters showed a non-significant correlation.

108 The present findings are in accordance with Marabi *et al.* (2017) revealed that severe attacks of
109 whiteflies occurred as pest outbreaks and yellow mosaic virus incidence as epidemic forms on
110 black gram due to erratic abiotic factors.

111 **Slender skimmer dragonfly, *Orthetrum sabrina* (Odonata: Libellulidae)**

112 Activity of dragonfly was observed from 35th to 43rd SMW. The lowest population was
113 observed during 35th, 39th and 40th SMW with the mean of 0.17 adults/sweep and the highest

114 population was observed during 41st SMW with the mean population of 1.5 adults/ sweep.
115 Correlation analysis revealed that the dragonfly population showed a significant positive
116 correlation with evaporation ($r = 0.70$) and showed a non-significant correlation with other weather
117 parameters.

118 The results are generally consistent with those of Moses *et al.* (2019) who found the dragonfly
119 population attained its peak at 32nd SMW and was reported as the most common and dominant
120 predator of the rice ecosystem. Dragonflies were observed most active during the Kharif season.

121 **Coromandel marsh dart damselfly *Ceriagrion coromandelianum* (Odonata:**
122 **Coenagrionidae)**

123 Activity of damselfly was observed from 35th to 43rd SMW. The lowest population was
124 observed during the 43rd SMW with a mean of 0.33 adults/ sweep and the highest population was
125 observed during the 40th SMW with a mean population of 1.83 adults/ sweep. Correlation analysis
126 revealed that the damselfly population showed a significant positive correlation with maximum
127 temperature ($r = 0.74$) and showed a non-significant correlation with other weather parameters.

128 The results are generally consistent with those of Moses *et al.* (2019) who found this damselfly
129 population attained its peak at 31st SMW, and reported the most common and dominant predator
130 of rice ecosystem. Damselfly were observed most active during kharif season.

131 **Ladybird beetle complex:**

132 Occurrence of the ladybird beetles *i.e.* transverse ladybird beetle (*Coccinella transversalis*)
133 and zigzag ladybird beetle (*Cheilomenes sexmaculata*) were observed from 35th to 43rd SMW.
134 The lowest population was observed during 35th and 36th SMW with the mean of 0.1 adult/plant
135 and the highest population was observed during 37th SMW with the mean population of 0.76

136 adult/plant. Correlation analysis revealed that the ladybird beetle population showed significant
137 positive correlation with whitefly ($r = 0.69$). While non-significant correlation with other weather
138 parameters.

139 The findings are similar to the findings of Jat and Rana (2018). They found beetle population
140 attained its peak at 37th SMW. They also reported a positive correlation of these beetles'
141 population with minimum temperature and relative humidity. Mohapatra *et al.* (2018) found that
142 the rainfall had a non-significant negative correlation. Choudhary *et al.* (2021) found that the
143 ladybird beetle population showed a positive significant correlation with the population of
144 whiteflies ($r=0.722$).

145 **Spider, *Oxyopes birmanicus* (Araneae: Oxyopidae)**

146 The activity of the spider was observed from 35th to 43rd SMW. The lowest population
147 was recorded during the 35th SMW with a mean of 0.01 adult/plant and the highest population
148 was observed during the 41st SMW with a mean population of 0.36 adult/plant. Correlation studies
149 revealed that the population of spiders showed a non-significant correlation with all-weather
150 parameters.

151 The findings are more or less in relevance to Kapoor and Shankar (2019), Jat and Rana (2018),
152 who found this spider's population attained its peak at 37th SMW.

153

154

CONCLUSION

155 The findings revealed the highest population of whitefly (6.87 adult/plant), jassid (6.56 adult/ 6
156 leaves) and ladybird beetles (0.76 adult/plant) were observed during 37th SMW and highest
157 population of yellow mosaic virus (22.87 adult/plant) was observed during 43rd SMW while spider

158 (0.36 adult/ plant) and dragonfly (1.5 adult/ sweep) were during 41st SMW and damselfly (1.83
159 adult/sweep) was during 40th SMW. Correlation studies revealed that the whitefly showed a
160 significant positive correlation with wind speed and jassid showed a significant positive correlation
161 with evening relative humidity, wind speed, and rainy days while the dragonfly showed a
162 significant positive correlation with evaporation, damselfly showed a significant positive
163 correlation with maximum temperature and ladybird beetle showed a significant positive
164 correlation with whitefly population.

165

166 REFERENCES

167 Anonymous. 2021. Green gram outlook report. Agriculture Market Intelligence Centre,
168 ANGRAU, Lam. <https://agrau.ac.in>.

169 Anonymous. 2022. Annual Progress Report 2021-22, DPD, Bhopal. Government of India.
170 <http://dpd.gov.in>.

171 Choudhary, S., Khinchi, S.K. and Kumawat, K.C. 2021. Seasonal abundance of major sucking
172 insect pest of cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (Linn.) and their natural enemies. *The Pharma
173 Innovation Journal* 10 (10): 685-688.

174 Duraimurugan, P. and Tyagi, K. 2014. Pest Spectra succession and yield losses in mung bean and
175 urd bean under changing climatic scenario. *Legume Research* 37(2): 212-222.

176 Islam, M.S., Latif, M.A., Ali, M. and Hossain, M.S. 2008. Population dynamics of whitefly on
177 some recommended mung bean varieties in Bangladesh and its impact on the incidence of
178 mung bean yellow mosaic virus disease and yield. *International Journal of Sustainable
179 Agriculture Technology* 5(4): 41-46.

180 Jat, S.K. and Rana, B.S. 2018. Occurrence of common aphidophagous natural enemies on black
181 gram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 6(2): 2716-2719.

182 Jayappa, Ramappa, H.K. and Devamani, B.D. 2017. Management of mung bean yellow mosaic
183 virus (MYMV) in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology*
184 *Study* 5(5): 596-601.

185 Kapoor, B. and Shankar, U. 2019. Trap catches of *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner and *Maruca*
186 *vitrata* Fabricius and their natural enemies on black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper).
187 *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies* 7(6):246-251.

188 Kumar, M. and Singh, P.S. 2016. Population dynamics of major insect pest of blackgram [*Vigna*
189 *Mungo* (L.) Hepper] in relation to weather parameters. *International Journal of*
190 *Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 9(4): 673-677.

191 Kundu, B., Chaudhuri, N., Dhar, T. and Ghosh, J. 2021. Population dynamics of important insect-
192 pests on black gram in relation to weather parameters during pre-kharif season in terai
193 region of West Bengal, India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 9 (1): 1131-
194 1135.

195 Marabi, R.S., Chaukikar, K., Das, S.B. and Bhowmick, A.K. 2017. Population dynamics of
196 whitefly and incidence of mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) on black gram.
197 *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* 8(6): 846-852.

198 Mohapatra, M.M., Singh, D.C., Gupta, P.K., Chandra, U., Patro, B. and Mohapatra, S.D. 2018.
199 Seasonal incidence of major insect-pest on black gram, *Vigna mungo* (Linn.) and its
200 correlation with weather parameters. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and*
201 *Applied Sciences* 7(6): 3886-3890.

- 202 Moses, S., Kishor, D.R., Mishra, A.K. and Ahmad, A. 2019. Monitoring of important predators
203 associated with major insect pests of rice during *kharif* season. *Journal of entomology and*
204 *Zoology Studies* 7(1): 476-478.
- 205 Nitharwal, M., Kumawat, K.C. and Choudhary, M. 2013. Population dynamics of insect pests of
206 green gram, *Vigna radiata* in semi-arid region of Rajasthan. *Journal of Insect Science*
207 26(1): 90-92.
- 208 Sekar, S. and Nalini, R. 2017. Varietal screening of mungbean genotypes against whitefly (*Bemisia*
209 *tabaci* Genn.), mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and cercospora leaf spot.
210 *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6(3):1278-1285.
- 211 Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. 1967. Statistical methods. The Iowa State University Press
212 29 (2): 593.
- 213 Vavilov, N.I. 1926. Studies on the origin of cultivated plants. *Bulletin of Applied Botany and Plant*
214 *Breeding* 16(2): 197-223.
- 215 Yadav, N.K. and Singh, P.S. 2015. Population dynamics of insect pests of mung bean [*Vigna*
216 *radiata* (L.) Wilczek] in Gangetic plains. *Journal of Food Legumes* 28 (2): 180-182.