

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126756
Title of the Manuscript:	POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ARTHROPODS IN GREEN GRAM [VIGNA RADIATA (L.)] AND THEIR CORRELATION WITH METEOROLOGICAL DATA AT JABALPUR DISTRICT OF M.P.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work is good. The significance of correlation of ecological factors on the population dynamics of the collected insects is logical. Identification of pests of V. radiate at specific range of ecological factors may enlighten its importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ARTHROPODS IN GREEN GRAM [VIGNA RADIATA (L.)] AND THEIR CORRELATION WITH METEOROLOGICAL DATA AT JABALPUR DISTRICT OF MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA OR CORRELATION OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA WITH THE POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ARTHROPODS IN GREEN GRAM (VIGNA RADIATE (L.)) AT JABALPUR DISTRICT, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientific and technical sound. A few suggestions are written below. Manuscript is ok.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor grammatical corrections (red colour) Lack of the name (common and scientific names) of the insects collected under Ladybird beetle, Spider Dragonfly, Damselfly, Whitefly, and Jassid categories. Please clarify the method of identification or taken the help of ZSI or any other reputed organization and experts. Line no. 9: Standard meteorological mean is represented by SMW. It should be SMM.] The author has to write the work's aims and objectives under the introduction. Line no. 51: Need to write the geo-coordinate of the site. Methodology: Must name the machine through which ecological factors were noted during the work.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is satisfactory. Minor corrections are required for the publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Kumar Thakur
Department, University & Country	Ranchi University, India