

## Synergistic effects of mulching and integrated nutrient management practices on maize (*Zea mays*)

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment on “Soil moisture conservation and integrated nutrient management practices in *rabi* maize” was conducted at the Instructional-cum- Research Farm of AAU, Jorhat during the *rabi* season of 2020-21 and 2021-22. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture with acidic in reaction (pH 5.12 and 5.16), medium in organic carbon (0.65% and 0.69%), medium in available nitrogen (315.50 and 326.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in available phosphorus (37.89 and 39.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available potassium (201.45 and 207.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 3 replications. The treatment consisted of three mulching treatments *viz.*, M0; No mulch, M1: Paddy straw mulch @ 6t/ha and M2: Biodegradable plastic film of 20µ in the main plots, and INM practices *viz.*, I1: 100% recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF), I2 :100% RDF + Water spray, I3: 100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC), I4:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% Enriched compost( EC) , I5:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% VC + 4% Vermiwash, I6: 100% PK + 75% RDN + 25%EC + 4% Vermiwash, I7:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% VC + 4% Humic acid, I8:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% EC + 4% Humic acid. Maize variety DKC 9081 was selected for research. Experimental findings revealed that the different soil moisture conservation practices significantly affected the growth parameters like plant height, dry matter accumulation and yield attributes of *rabi* maize. The maximum values of growth parameters were recorded in the treatment involving application of biodegradable plastic mulch. Similar effects were obtained in yield and yield attributing characters *viz.*, weight of cob with and without husk, number of rows per cob, grain per row, grain per cob, 1000 grain weight, , grain yield pooled over two years (79.06 q/ha). Among the INM practices the treatment with 100% PK+75% RDN+25% Enriched compost +4% Humic acid (I8) resulted in significantly higher growth parameters like plant height, leaf area, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation of *rabi* maize. Similarly, yield and yield attributing characters *viz.*, weight of cob with and without husk, length of cob, number of rows per cob, grain per row, grain per cob, 1000 grain weight and grain yield (77.56 q/ha) were also higher under this treatment.

**Keywords:** Vermiwash, Humic acid, Integrated nutrient management

## Introduction

India is a nation with a remarkable diversity of species, including flora, wildlife, and other living things. India's population relies on agriculture for over 70% of their income, which accounts for about 18% of their GDP. The most significant cereal crop in the world, maize is a major staple food for one-third of the world's population. Over 170 nations are currently producing jointly 1147.7 million MT of maize on 193.7 million hectares of land, with an average productivity of 5.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Maize during *rabi* takes up about 16.93 lakh hectares. The largest states that grow maize are Bihar (5.27 million hectares), Maharashtra (2.27 million hectares), West Bengal (1.52 million hectares), and Assam (31,000 hectares), which produces 91,000 tonnes of the grain each year at a productivity of 2911 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAOSTAT, 2020). Maize is regarded as the third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice (Murdia *et al.*, 2016). Low yield in maize is caused by variety of biotic and abiotic factors, including nutrient inadequacy, moisture stress, insect pest attack, unpredictable rainfall behavior and other environmental variables. There is nutrient deficit due to abiotic factors including high nutrient fixation in acidic soil and water scarcity during *rabi* season. To avoid these, suitable measures for soil moisture conservation and integrated nutrient management practices were followed. Mulch is defined as any material placed on soil surface to retain moisture which reduces evaporation losses by acting as a barrier to the movement of soil moisture. Paddy straw mulch conserves the moisture thus, improving the water holding capacity of soil and increase in water use efficiency by 14% [Tolk, 1999]. The use of biodegradable film in place of conventional polyethylene might be the best way to improve the yield at the moment, [Moreno, 2008] On the other hand, Heavy use of chemical fertilizers alone [Obi, 1995] is causing soil deterioration [Tolk 1999][ Turner 1986] Integrating organic fertilizers such as vermicompost, enriched compost, humic acid and vermiwash with chemical fertilizers (RDF) was helpful in reducing the harmful effects on soil, plant and human health without reducing yield [Kahlon, 2013]. Vermicompost has a wide range of microbial and enzyme activity, fine particle structure, good moisture-holding capacity, and includes nutrients like N, P, K, Ca, and Mg in forms that plants can easily absorb [Lavelle, 1992] Vermiwash is a liquid waste extract that is gathered after water has passed through the various layers of an earthworm culture unit. It is used as foliar spray in improving yield of crops [Raviv, 1998]. The exogenously applied humic acid (leonardite) can improve plant growth and yield by supplying macro and micro nutrients.

A simple and very low-cost effective technique of in situ soil moisture conservation in maize (*Zea mays* L.) was mulching with locally available paddy straw and biodegradable film mulches and nutrient requirement by crop can be fulfilled by integrating nutrient management practices. Therefore, the present investigation aims to study the effect of soil moisture conservation and integrated nutrient management practices on the growth and yield of *rabi* maize in Assam. The objectives of the research were 1. To evaluate the effect of soil moisture conservation practices on the growth and yield of *rabi* maize. 2. To find out the effect of integrated nutrient management practices on growth and yield of *rabi* maize

## Materials and Methods

The field experiment was laid out in split-plot design with three replications. The treatment consisted of three mulching treatments *viz.*, M0; No mulch, M1:Paddy straw mulch @6t/ha and M2: Biodegradable plastic film of 20 $\mu$  in the main plots, and INM practices *viz.*, I1: 100% recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF), I2 :100% RDF + Water spray, I3: 100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% Vermicompost (VC), I4:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% Enriched compost( EC) , I5:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% VC + 4% Vermiwash, I6: 100% PK + 75% RDN + 25%EC + 4% Vermiwash, I7:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% VC + 4% Humic acid, I8:100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% EC + 4% Humic acid.

### Location of the experimental site

The geographical location of the Instructional cum Research Farm of Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat is situated at 26°45'N latitude, 94°12'E longitude and at an altitude of 87m above mean sea level (MSL). A field with homogeneous soil fertility, good drainage, and uniform texture was used for the experiment.

**Weather and climatic conditions :**Jorhat, included in the upper Brahmaputra valley agro-climatic zone of Assam, has a subtropical humid climate with warm summers and cool winters. It receives 2500mm of annual rain on average. June marks the beginning of the monsoon, which lasts through September. In second half of March, pre-monsoon showers are also experienced in the region. Rainfall intensity is highest from June to July and peaks in August. It then progressively declines, with December and January seeing the least amount of precipitation. Along with rain, temperature also rises gradually

### Land preparation

The experimental field was thoroughly prepared for sowing using a tractor-drawn disc board plough, and in last week of November 2020, two harrowings were completed, followed by laddering. The plots were cleared of any trash, plant matter that hadn't decomposed. The field was set up according to the experimental design after being properly prepared for use. Seeds of hybrid maize variety, DKC-9081 were sown on 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2020 and 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. Sowing was done manually by dibbling method at a spacing 60 cm x 25 cm by using a seed rate of 22.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Two levels of mulch treatment comprising paddy straw and biodegradable plastic film were compared with no mulch control treatment for soil moisture conservation and weed suppression in *rabi* maize. Before sowing Paddy straw mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) was applied @ 6t ha<sup>-1</sup> and biodegradable plastic film mulch (M<sub>2</sub>) of 20 micron ( $\mu$ ) thickness was placed over the plots. Required amount of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the experimental field was supplied through urea, SSP and MOP, respectively, and through VC and EC as per treatments.

### Biometric observations

Measurements of various growth and yield attributing characters were recorded prior to harvesting of the crop to assess the treatment effects. Five plants were chosen at random from the net plot area and tagged for the purpose of recording periodical observations that did not involve destructive sampling. The destructive samples used for measuring the accumulation of dry matter, five plants from the second row from the borderrow in each plot were cut at ground level at each sampling date without disturbing the net plot area and taken to the laboratory with proper tag. The grain yield was collected from each plot bulked, and one thousand grains were selected at random and dried in the sun to bring the moisture content down to roughly 14%. The weight of 1000 grains was then measured according to treatment and stated in grams

### Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by following the method of analysis of variance as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978). Critical difference was worked out at 5 per cent level of probability, wherever the treatmental differences were found significant. Treatment differences that were non-significant were denoted as NS.

### Results

**Plant height and dry matter:** The mean plant height and dry matter accumulation (Table 1) of rabi maize during both the years was found to increase progressively over time and attained the highest value when it was harvested at physiological maturity stage (120 DAS). M<sub>2</sub> exhibited the highest plant height (202.58 cm), followed by M<sub>1</sub> (184.60 cm) and M<sub>0</sub> (179.70cm). Plant height varied among the INM treatments, with I<sub>8</sub> (100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% EC + 4% Humic acid) consistently showing the highest values across all stages, peaking at 198.69 cm at 120 DAS. I<sub>6</sub> (100% PK + 75% RDN + 25% EC + 4% Vermiwash) also performed well, particularly notable at 120 DAS with a plant height of 195.73 cm. Similar pattern was followed with dry matter accumulation (Table 1), M<sub>2</sub> exhibited the highest dry matter accumulation across all stages, with 11.18 g/plant at 30 DAS, 49.31 g/plant at 60 DAS, 91.14 g/plant at 90 DAS, and 124.33 g/plant at 120 DAS. M<sub>1</sub> showed moderate results, whereas M<sub>0</sub> had the lowest dry matter accumulation, indicating the beneficial impact of mulching on biomass production. Among the INM practices, I<sub>8</sub> demonstrated the highest dry matter accumulation, reaching 11.30 g/plant at 30 DAS and increasing significantly to 110.28 g/plant at 120 DAS. I<sub>6</sub> and I<sub>7</sub> also showed high dry matter accumulation after I<sub>8</sub>, indicating the positive effect of combining organic amendments with chemical fertilizers. I<sub>1</sub> consistently recorded the lowest values, highlighting the limitation of using only the recommended dose of fertilizers without additional organic inputs.

### Grain yield, weight of grains/cob, Shelling %, Test weight and grain yield

The Table 2 shows the impact of different soil moisture conservation practices and INM practices on grain yield and yield parameters across two growing seasons (2020-21 and 2021-22). During both the years, the treatments revealed notable differences in the number of grains per cob, weight of grains per cob, shelling percentage, test weight, and grain yield. The M<sub>2</sub> treatment exhibited the highest number of grains per cob at 408.83, significantly outperforming M<sub>1</sub> (294.69) and M<sub>0</sub> (273.44) during 2020-21 and

M<sub>2</sub> (416.87), M<sub>1</sub> (294.83) and M<sub>0</sub> (277.21) during 2021-22. M<sub>2</sub> also showed the highest weight of grains per cob at 116.48 g, compared to 96.6 g in M<sub>1</sub> and 78.71 g in M<sub>0</sub>. The weight of grains per cob during 2021-22 in M<sub>2</sub> increased to 132.24 g, significantly higher than 102.84 g in M<sub>1</sub> and 85.65 g in M<sub>0</sub>, showing continued improvement. The shelling percentage was slightly higher in M<sub>2</sub> at 77.5% compared to 76.87% in M<sub>1</sub> and 76.42% in M<sub>0</sub>, indicating a marginal improvement in grain filling with advanced practices. Similarly, M<sub>2</sub> exhibited the highest shelling percentage at 80.98%, compared to 78.5% in M<sub>1</sub> and 77.08% in M<sub>0</sub> indicating better grain filling in advanced treatments in second season. However, our research found no significant differences in shelling percentage between the different mulching and integrated nutrient management (INM) treatments across both the years.

The test weight followed a similar trend, with M<sub>2</sub> showing the highest value at 282.85 g, M<sub>1</sub> at 276.82 g, and M<sub>0</sub> at 271.44 g in 2020-21 and M<sub>2</sub> at 282.05 g, with M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>0</sub> at 277.31 g and 272.14 g, respectively in 2021-22, showing stable test weight. The maximum test weight was observed in the M<sub>2</sub> treatment, which employed mulching. The grain yield was highest in M<sub>2</sub> at 76.25 kg/ha, compared to 66.88 kg/ha in M<sub>1</sub> and 64.58 kg/ha in M<sub>0</sub>, highlighting the significant yield advantage of advanced soil moisture conservation practices. Grain yield in M<sub>2</sub> further increased to 81.88 kg/ha, compared to 73.78 kg/ha in M<sub>1</sub> and 68 kg/ha in M<sub>0</sub>, underscoring the sustained yield benefits of advanced soil moisture practices. The sub-plot treatments (I1 to I8) also showed significant variations. The number of grains per cob ranged from 302.41 in I1 to 350.71 in I8, with I8 showing the highest values, reflecting the effectiveness of more intensive conservation practices. The number of grains per cob ranged from 307.61 in I1 to 351.05 in I8, with I8 maintaining the highest values, confirming the effectiveness of intensive conservation practices. The weight of grains per cob varied from 92.6 g in I1 to 102.42 g in I8, again indicating superior performance with more intensive practices. Weight of grains per cob increased across all treatments, with I8 showing the highest at 116.31 g, up from 102.42 g the previous year. Shelling percentage ranged from 74.88% in I1 to 80.75% in I8, suggesting better grain filling. Shelling percentage was highest in I8 at 80.22%, reflecting improved grain filling in intensive treatments. Test weight varied from 275.7 g in I1 to 279.97 g in I8, with higher values indicating better grain quality. Test weight remained high, with values ranging from 275.64 g in I1 to 278.87 g in I8, indicating consistent grain quality. Grain yield ranged from 64.3 kg/ha in I1 to 75.67 kg/ha in I8, with I8 showing the highest yield, highlighting the benefits of intensive soil moisture conservation. Grain yield increased in all sub-plot treatments, is showing the highest at 79.44 kg/ha, high lighting the benefits of intensive soil moisture conservation. Among INM practices, maximum values obtained with I8:100% PK+75% RDN+25% EC+ 4% Humic acid which was at par with I6 which formed by the combination of EC + vermiwash. Significant difference in grain yield /hectare (75.67q/ha in 2020-21, 79.44q/ha in 2021-22) was noticed among INM treatments, The percent (%) yield increase by INM treatments was 17.68 % and 12.4% during both the years in combination such as enriched compost with humic acid and with enriched compost and vermiwash showed 73.19 q/ha (12.13%) and 77.14 q/ha (8.15%) better results. Whereas, the combination of vermicompost and humic acid increased yield to 14.99% and 9.23 % during both the years.

**Table 1: Effect of mulches and Integrated Nutrient Management practices on plant height and dry matter accumulation of *rabi* maize.**

Treatments	Plant height				Dry matter accumulation			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
<b>Main plot treatments</b>								
<b>M<sub>0</sub></b>	21.79	56.82	163.64	179.77	9.41	34.63	74.80	93.73
<b>M<sub>1</sub></b>	25.62	64.37	174.80	184.60	11.02	42.03	83.02	104.17
<b>M<sub>2</sub></b>	24.78	74.33	193.26	202.58	11.18	49.31	91.14	124.33
<b>SEm±</b>	0.690	0.913	1.498	3.146	0.099	0.929	1.070	1.732
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	2.711	3.584	5.881	12.352	0.387	3.647	4.199	6.798
<b>Sub-plot treatments</b>								
<b>I<sub>1</sub></b>	22.68	58.73	168.03	179.84	9.97	38.91	79.26	103.97
<b>I<sub>2</sub></b>	22.80	58.67	169.72	181.81	9.88	39.22	80.11	105.31
<b>I<sub>3</sub></b>	23.87	65.27	172.58	186.39	10.44	40.71	81.71	106.32
<b>I<sub>4</sub></b>	24.68	65.95	175.99	187.73	10.34	41.76	82.96	107.36
<b>I<sub>5</sub></b>	24.47	66.55	178.48	189.04	10.47	42.31	83.68	108.17
<b>I<sub>6</sub></b>	25.90	68.65	186.06	195.73	11.12	43.98	84.93	108.44
<b>I<sub>7</sub></b>	23.72	67.28	180.99	192.65	10.79	42.95	84.57	109.44
<b>I<sub>8</sub></b>	24.40	70.30	186.03	198.69	11.30	46.11	86.67	110.28
<b>SEm±</b>	0.935	1.323	1.856	3.091	0.382	1.397	1.237	2.055
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	*	3.776	5.296	8.822	NS	3.988	3.530	*

**Table 2: Effect of soil moisture conservation and Integrated Nutrient Management practices on yield and yield attributes of *rabi* maize**

Treatments	Grains/cob		Weight of grains/cob		Shelling %		Test weight		Grain yield	
	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Main plot treatments</b>										
M <sub>0</sub>	273.44	277.21	78.71	85.65	76.42	77.08	271.44	272.14	64.58	68
M <sub>1</sub>	294.69	294.83	96.6	102.84	76.87	78.5	276.82	277.31	66.88	73.78
M <sub>2</sub>	408.83	416.87	116.48	132.24	77.5	80.98	282.85	282.05	76.25	81.88
SEm±	4.826	6.02	2.065	1.971	0.958	1.581	1.648	1.372	1.413	0.993
CD (P=0.05)	18.947	23.635	8.109	7.739	NS	NS	6.47	5.385	5.547	3.899
<b>Sub-plot treatments</b>										
I <sub>1</sub>	302.41	307.61	92.6	98.26	74.88	78	275.7	275.64	64.3	70.62
I <sub>2</sub>	309.15	308.88	93.34	100.5	75.2	79.27	274.88	275.75	65.24	71.36
I <sub>3</sub>	317.96	322.86	94.84	103.82	75.58	78.16	276.68	277.07	66.07	72
I <sub>4</sub>	321.05	326.57	94.91	105.03	75.29	76	276.4	277.18	67.1	73.17
I <sub>5</sub>	329.26	334.26	99.1	108.51	77.09	80.15	276.9	277.15	69.48	76.3
I <sub>6</sub>	338.83	344.52	100.43	111.75	77.84	79.45	276.82	277.37	73.94	77.14
I <sub>7</sub>	335.84	341.34	100.45	111.11	78.81	79.58	278.93	278.3	72.1	76.38
I <sub>8</sub>	350.71	351.05	102.42	116.31	80.75	80.22	279.97	278.87	75.67	79.44
SEm±	6.918	7.719	2.157	3.013	1.498	1.821	1.475	1.161	1.391	1.502
CD (P=0.05)	19.746	22.031	6.157	8.601	*	*	*	*	3.97	4.286

## Discussion:

The mean plant height and dry matter accumulation of rabi maize during both the years was found to increase progressively over time and attained the highest value when it was harvested at physiological maturity stage (120 DAS). M<sub>2</sub> exhibited the highest plant height. The increase in plant height, dry matter accumulation could be explained by [Cihangir (2020)]. Findings are in accordance with (Misra et.al (1996)) who found that soil mulching increased the amount of moisture that could be stored in the soil profile and considerably improved plant water use efficiency and plant overall growth and yield during both the years which contribute in increasing grains per cob. This suggests that M<sub>2</sub> not only increases the plant morphological characters but also enhance the yield parameters such as number of grains and also increases grain weight. This finding aligns with the study Chakraborty (2008) which also reported enhanced grain weight under mulched conditions due to improved soil moisture retention. This suggests that these specific agronomic practices did not influence the ease of shelling %. These findings are consistent with previous research indicating that shelling percentage is largely influenced by genetic factors and the physical characteristics of the cob and kernels rather than agronomic practices Li, J (2003). This increase in test weight can be attributed to the enhanced soil moisture availability provided by mulching during critical growth periods. Mulching helps in conserving soil moisture by reducing evaporation, thereby ensuring that plants have a consistent water supply, which is crucial for achieving optimal grain filling and test weight. This observation is consistent with findings Chakraborty (2008) who reported similar benefits of mulching in improving grain weight due to better soil moisture conservation.

The impact of humic acid on certain plant processes like respiration and photosynthesis, as well as the improvement in photosynthesis efficiency and the subsequent provision of food stocks that then move to the seeds that are formed and increase the fullness and then increase weight. The vermiwash showed better results after humic acid. This is explained by slower nutrient release for absorption with extra nutrients like gibberellin, cytokinin, and auxins, as well as by the use of organic inputs in conjunction with vermiwash [Raviv(1998)]. Conversely, our study did not find any significant effects of integrated nutrient management (INM) practices on test weight. While INM is effective in improving soil fertility and overall crop yields through a balanced supply of nutrients, it appears that its influence on test weight is minimal. This suggests that test weight is more closely related to soil moisture availability and other environmental factors rather than nutrient management alone.

## Conclusion

Based on two years of field experimentation it may be concluded that the different mulch materials were found to be advantageous in conserving soil moisture and increasing crop growth and yield of maize in *rabi* season. In case of integrated nutrient management practices, the application of 100% PK and 75% RDN along with enriched compost and foliar spray of 4% humic acid was found to increase yield

## References

1. Cihangir, H. and Abdullah, O. (2020). The effect of different organic nutrients on some quality properties of popcorn (*Zeamays L. everta*). *Asian Food Science Journal*. **7**(2): 1-9.
2. Chakraborty, D.; Nagarajan, S., Aggarwal, P., Gupta, V.K., Tomar ,R.K., Garg, R.N., Sahoo, R.N., Sarkar, A., Chopra, U.K., Sarma, K.S and Kalra, N. (2008). Effect of mulching on soil and plant water status, and the growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in a semi-arid environment. *Agric. WaterManag.* **95**(12): 1323-1334.
3. FAOSTAT. (2020). Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN, Data, Crops.
4. Lavelle, P. and Martin. A. (1992). *Soil Bio. Biochem.* **24**: 1491-1498.
5. Li, X.Y. and Gong, J.D. (2002). Effects of different ridge: furrow ratios and supplemental irrigation on crop production in ridge and furrow rainfall harvesting system with mulches. *Agric. Water Manage.* **54**: 243-254.
6. Misra, O.R., (1996). Influence of mulching and anti transpirants on water consumption yield and yield contributing characters of different rain fed wheat varieties. *Crop Research*. **11**(1): 1-8.
7. Moreno, M. and Moreno, A. (2008). Effect of different biodegradable and polyethylene mulches on soil properties and production in a tomato crop. *Scientia Horticulturae*. **116**. 256-263.
8. Murdia, L. K., Wadhvani, R., Wadhawan, N., Bajpai, P. and Shekhawat, S.( 2016). Maize Utilization in India: An Overview. *American Journal of Food and Nutrition*. **4**(6): 169-176.
9. Obi, M.E. and Ebo, P.O. (1995). Effect of organic and inorganic amendments on soil physical properties and maize production in a severally degraded sandy soil. *Bio Technology*. **51**(2- 3): 117–123.
10. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. *Indian J Agric Res.* **87-89**.
11. Raviv, M., Zaidman, B.Z. and Kapulnik, Y. (1998). *Compost Sci. Util.* **6**: 46-52.
12. Tolk, J.A., Howell, T.A and Evett, S.R. (1999). Effect of mulch, irrigation, and soil type on water use and yield of maize. *Soil Tillage Res.* **50**: 137- 147.

UNDER PEER REVIEW