

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126483
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of soybean crop residue compost on the productivity of guinea sorrel ( <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> )
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Soybean compost is vital for enhancing soil quality, promoting sustainable practices, and offering numerous benefits that support agricultural productivity, which are essential areas of research within the scientific community. This study is interested for using the soybean compost for crop production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No 1. Please revised t. ha-1 at the first time should be full word 2. The 06 t. ha-1 and 10 t. ha-1 treatments generated <b>the best values</b> . 3. The author could specifies what parameter that increase significantly. 4. 4-10 t ha-1 was highly gab for recommendation to the farmer practice??? The minimum addition of compost at 4 t ha-1 would compromised using.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The title of the table should be revised and give more detail 2. What is JAS ? The author refer to DAS 3. Leaf area results , please look at the statistic result 4. Table 6 , what dose <b>has</b> mean? 5. Please look at the static results for every parameter.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The lack of organic compost fertilizer reference	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No information of nutrients content of using compost	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suphathida Aumtong
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand