

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_127355
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Nutritional Profile and Shelf-life of Cuisines Sold in Selected Restaurants for Family Consumption in Urban-Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO, The methodology is almost absent	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NO The missing elements especially regarding the solidity of the methodology depreciate the value of this work. It is important that the author fleshes out his methodology in order to allow the reader to understand how he arrived at the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	yes	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>Assessment of the Nutritional Profile and Shelf-life of Cuisines Sold in Selected Restaurants for Family Consumption in Urban-Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria</b>  I read this work with interest, and I make the following observations 1. The author does not describe to us in the methodology how he proceeded to the selection of the foods that he examined 2. And how many dishes did he sample to give us these results 3. How did he standardize the sampling procedure so that the dishes meet the same initial criteria. Because a smaller variation would be a selection bias and would affect the results 4. The procedure of the microbiological analysis must be mentioned in the part of the methodology of the work because this analysis is not limited only to total germs and total coliforms, there is a series of bacteria to be isolated that does not appear in these results 5. The microbiological analysis, it would be interesting to display the admissible threshold of colonization of total germs in the table as well as that of coliforms. 6. What does the XXX mean in the table of total coliforms 7. The methods of analysis of the components of food and minerals must appear in the methodology and the author must specify their precision in the analysis.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kasamba Ilunga Eric</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lubumbashi, DR Congo</b>