

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127536
Title of the Manuscript:	Health and Demographic Characteristics of Patients Attending a Primary Care Unit in Mexico City: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients is important to improve the facilities and management strategy of the primary care settings. Understanding these trends can improve evidence-based decisions on resource planning and preventive measures. In this aspect the article may helpful in the medical field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, The tile is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is defined well. But, abbreviation to be explained like SIMEF	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. More number of abbreviations are used. But, grammatical error should be corrected.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients is important to improve the facilities and management strategy of the primary care settings. Understanding these trends can improve evidence-based decisions on resource planning and preventive measures. In this aspect the article may helpful in the medical field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References format to be corrected, some of the references are not as required. Reference no. 19 is not understandable it has multiple DOI.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is some grammatical error. The sentence format also not suitable in some of the area.	
Optional/General comments	Overall manuscript is acceptable with minor corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Tamilselvan
Department, University & Country	Nehru College of Pharmacy, India