

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127536
Title of the Manuscript:	Health and Demographic Characteristics of Patients Attending a Primary Care Unit in Mexico City: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents the current health status and prevalence of co-morbidities in Mexican population. This article would be helpful in providing insight to the health status of Mexican, that could be helpful in designing future healthcare programs, as well as provide a base for further investigation into disease conditions of the population that might be effected by the social factors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should include the word: "Health status". The word "health" alone presents a vague image.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the manuscript is well written and comprehensively describes the whole article in sophisticated manner. Keywords should be improved, a few more words should be added.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However, I suggest that the part: Introduction should be improved. There is no consistency in the sentences of Introduction. A proper sequence should be followed for introduction. Ethical approval and data confidentiality details should be added as well. The part: Result and Discussion are well written and summarized perfectly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust, and technically sound as it is a retrospective study, providing a clear image of the heath status of Mexicans in the past 2 years. The data is well summarized and presented in an efficient manner to present a clear image of health status to the healthcare authorities.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A few references are not recent. I suggest to add the most recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments	The English language of the manuscript needs to be improved overall. A few statements have grammatical errors and present a vague meaning.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sara Shahid
Department, University & Country	Lahore University of Biological & Applied Sciences, Pakistan