

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127536
Title of the Manuscript:	Health and Demographic Characteristics of Patients Attending a Primary Care Unit in Mexico City: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Characteristics of the population pyramid came out very well. Leading causes of medical attention were explicit, both for NCDs and CDs. Proposed interventions are based on the distinct temporal trends for each NCD and CD.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive, however, some global comparative analysis is missing. The author should consider documenting this to give a picture of global burden of NCDs and CDs. The aim has not come out clearly, it should be re-casted to deal with the punctuation therein.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate, however, sampling strategy should have been captured to harmonise section 2.2 and 2.3. The author uses all the population, 17, 918, and at the same time talks about inclusion and exclusion criteria in section 2.3. This gives an impression of existence of study sample size from population size of 17,918.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Use of test statistics, Yate's corrected Chi Square and Mann Whitney U-test give credence to study inferences made. The study aim stated under section 2.1 is not same as what is stated under section 1.1. These should be harmonised.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent, within 5 years, however, some references were made several times in the text. For example, Ref. number 11 has been referenced 12 times in the text. This directly narrow scope of literature search.	
Minor REVISION comments	Suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The number of female study population (n=11,289) is almost twice the number of male study population (n=6,629). Does this have the potential of affecting the final results?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Otieno Odongo
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya