

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_127027
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES NEWSPAPERS FACE WHEN REPORTING GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE IN TANZANIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gender base violence is a common phenomenon and need extensive study from different scholars across the world. The author highlighted the Tanzania as case study which reflect the picture of other part of the globe. 2. The author focused on gender base violence on media person to alert the policy maker to make some new legislations to protect their rights and privileges. 3. The manuscript gives an insight study of GBV(s) by providing sufficient incidences already occurred and give appropriate suggestions to reduce this in future. On the basis of the above points, the manuscript is like by me.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, not required any addition	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author follows the scientific research methodology to establish his argument regarding gender base violence of media person while performing their assigned duties. 2. It is scientifically robust and technically sound because all data regarding gender base violence is placed in order. 3. The author has followed proper citation as and when required. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are up to mark, not required any correction.	
Minor REVISION comments	The writing quality is outstanding and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Manuscript may be published in original.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pobon Kr. Gogoi
Department, University & Country	Gargaon College, Dibrugarh University, India