

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126885
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Local Community Members' Participation in Schools' Managerial Activities and Decisions Making: A Case of Community Secondary Schools in Coast and Kagera Regions, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-researched empirical paper that highlights an area of importance in the governance of community schools. By identifying this gap, the paper provides insights into the challenges faced by community schools not only in Tanzania but in many African countries. The paper's weakness is that it did not go into detail indicating the policy position on the role of communities and school administrators. Is the gap due to policy and if so, how can it be addressed to have uniformity in community schools	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Assessing the Participation of Local Communities in Schools Managerial Activities and Decision Making in Tanzania: A Case of Community Secondary Schools in Coast and Kagera Regions.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has enough content; however, there is a need for some information to be deleted as highlighted on the paper as it is unnecessary. The abstract also needs recasting as follows: 1. Start with issue or problem leading to a need for the research 2. Indicate purpose of study. 3. What data will be collected to address this purpose, where data will be collected 4. Indicate results 5. Mention practical implications of the study. State specific audiences who will benefit from the project and why they will benefit.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriately titled and structured. There is a flow that a reader can follow as he or she transitions from one subsection to another	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research objective is clearly articulated in the manuscript and the findings answer it. Further, the research methods were clearly expressed with the research philosophy neatly tied up to the research methods. The participants were properly identified using scientific methods and the sampling process was done correctly. The findings were neatly packaged with participants being assigned codes so as to maintain anonymity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The researcher used a mixture of references from old and current literature. This is so because the area of research is suited to this approach. To a large extent there is proper intext citations and well laid out references.	
Minor REVISION comments	The quality is appropriate and is ideal for scholarly work. The researcher put much effort into providing good English with very few corrections.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This is a paper that adds new information to the governance of schools not only in Tanzania but in most global south countries. It provides empirical evidence upon which policy briefs can be extracted with the aim of bringing in policy reforms in this sector.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paradzai Munyede
Department, University & Country	Africa University, Zimbabwe