

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_126918
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nitrogen and boron fertilization on nutrient content and uptake by Mustard crop in Chitrakoot area
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Application of nano-urea sprays and boron supplementation, particularly at higher boron levels, can substantially enhance yield and nutrient uptake in mustard crops, making it a promising practice for sustainable agriculture in semi-arid regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Impact on nutrient content and uptake of Mustard by using nitrogen and boron in Chitrakoot area	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not good written, improve specific clarification in ending of the abstract, write scientifically language	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some improvement is needed clearly concluded the findings, in introduction section smart, short and usefully introduction are write not more	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Recent advances in B research have greatly improved an understanding for B uptake and transport processes, and roles of B in cell wall formation, cellular membrane functions, and anti-oxidative defense systems. Reproductive growth, especially flowering, fruit and seed set is more sensitive to boron (B) deficiency than vegetative growth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Some relevant new references are added for improve manuscript and discussion properly reason of the findings	
Minor REVISION comments	Appropriate	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	After some corrections are done than publish this manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indra Raj Yadav
Department, University & Country	Rajmata Vijayaraje Sciendia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India