

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_126715
Title of the Manuscript:	Vidian Neurectomy: A narrative review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE MANUSCRIPT CAN HELP READERS TO GAIN KNOWLEGE ABOUT VEDIAN NERVE ANATOMY AND PHYSIOLOGY BUT THE AUTHOR REPEATE MANY PARAGRAPHES MORE THAN ONCE	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	THE TITLE IS NOT CREATIVE AND IN STEAD VEDIAN NEURECTOMY VS POSTERIOR N NEURECTOMY	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ABSTRACT SUITABLE	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	STRUCTURE IS APPROPRIATE	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	VEDIAN NEURECTOMY IN RHINORRHEA AND POST NASAL DRIP IS NOT COMMONLY USED INSTEAD THE MOST PRECISED ENDOSCOPIC POSTERIOR NASAL NERVE NEURECTOMY.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	OK	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	LANGUAGE IS SUITABLE	
Optional/General comments	IT WILL BE BETTER IF THE AUTHOR REMOVE THE REPEATED INFORMATION AND BE CONCISED	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khaled M Mokbel Khalefa
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Egypt