

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_127364
Title of the Manuscript:	AQUIFER VULNERABILTY ASSESSEMNET AROUND A MAJOR DUMPSITE LOCATED WITHIN GOSHEN CITY IN NASARAWA STATE USING INTEGRATED GEOPHYSICAL METHODS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper by an unknown author titled "AQUIFER VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT AROUND A MAJOR DUMPSITE LOCATED WITHIN GOSHEN CITY IN NASARAWA STATE USING INTEGRATED GEOPHYSICAL METHODS" is very good work. It provides an important topic bordering society in Nigeria. However, the authors submitted a whole project work instead journal manuscript. Therefore, the work is not ready for review in the current status until the authors address the following issues.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author should include continuous lines in subsequence submissions 2. The author should use the following articles as a guide to restructure the manuscript and resubmit. 3. I recommend resubmission because the work is good <p>Recommend articles for restructuring</p> <p>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165469 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2022.100877 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscee.2023.100523 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13265 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-023-00948-6 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55665-4_2 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-77727-6 https://doi.org/10.1007/s44274-024-00074-w</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Joseph Omeiza Alao</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria</p>