

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_126818
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of the Proportion of Vulnerable Households and Its Determinants in Lampung Province in 2022
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Measuring poverty expected vulnerability is an important dimension of research. It is multi-dimensional concept. It is relevant among the readers of interdisciplinary studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Study area should be clear Lumpung province such that author can relate which country it belongs. Title of the paper is estimation of vulnerable households but in conclusion it is missing. Identifying factors are not based on logit model	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Poor writing, and unstructured arrangement , mismatch between methodology and resluts Font size and font type, line alignment, spacing should be unique all over the article Introduction should be concise and there should be interlinkage between lines and paragraph. What is the research gap? Please mention clearly What is objective of the study? Mention clearly What is the hypothesis? What is/are the research question/ there is no mention about the specification of data set like number of observation, sample size, population size, sampling procedure, variables etc. Rewrite whole methodology section. Methodology should be concise and clear . Clarity level is very low. Unnecessary sentences should be deleted. Arrange equation sequentially (for example point 2 in 2.2.1). mention name of notations of each and every variable. Sentences and equation in point 4 of 2.2.1 are incomplete. In 2.2.2 sentences are not clear. Sufficient details of the methods/process should be provided so that another researcher is able to reproduce the experiments described Why it is needed the following test in 3.2? Please specify Goodness of Fit (Nagelkerke R square value) and Hosmer and	

Review Form 3

	<p>Lemeshow's Goodness of Fit Test and Omnibus Test of Model Coefficient</p> <p>For which model these test are needed? Please specify the relevance. to estimate VEP or Logit model? Please explain. And arrange the analysis of these test in Result section accordingly.</p> <p>To identify factors affecting vulnerability expected poverty using Logit model, but in result section no table provides the 1) descriptive statistics of the data set and 2) result of estimated logit model</p> <p>In what basis author identifies factors? In section 3.2. Explanation in 3.2 requires to explain results of logit model Title of the paper is estimation of vulnerable households but in conclusion it is missing. Identifying factors are not based on logit model There is no discussion section in the article. Please specify the discussion section. It should be highlight significance and importance of the study and results which has relevance in the present and in past.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>Authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion: Intext references and bibliography should follow a unique method such as APA style/Harvard style/as suggested by the journal. Literature review should be rewriting in own words with a perfect flow of facts and arguments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Very poor quality of language. Please read more standard research articles and rewrite the manuscript accordingly</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uttam Haldar
Department, University & Country	Serampore Girls' College, Under University of Calcutta, India