

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_126818
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of the Proportion of Vulnerable Households and Its Determinants in Lampung Province in 2022
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper gives an elaborate explanation of determinants of poverty in the selected region. It tested the methodology with the support of relevant data. So, the manuscript has a positive contributions in the poverty literature. Though it may be tested in a dynamic time frame. Again a data based comparison of the poverty index used here, with the traditional indices may be useful to show the robustness of the analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be like: "Determinants of Expected Poverty: An Estimation in Lampung Province"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Relevance of the poverty index used in the study may be mentioned in the Abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	With the support of the relevant data it tested the determinants of poverty at a particular time period. Hence for that time period the assertion seems true and valid. Although some test in a dynamic time frame can make the result more robust. Again a literature review may be added before primary selection of probable determinants of poverty.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debottam Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Sundarban Hazi Desarat College, India