

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_124908
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential application of spices and pineapple as healthy beverages
Type of the Article	Research article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript puts information on the importance adding pineapple to spices beverage to improve its application.</li> <li>2. The physicochemical used to analysis health applications of pineapple-spice beverage.</li> <li>3. Further what makes adding pineapple to spice beverages are its phytochemicals.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Not suitable. I suggest the following title</p> <p><b>“Improving the health potential of spice beverages by adding pineapple”</b></p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. Except one statement of result and discussion, the abstract was written for methodology part only; however the abstract should contain introduction, methodology, and the findings. Therefore, I recommend rewriting the abstract completely.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is good. However 3.1- written twice. Rearrange it!	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript is important due to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is lab-based research which is scientifically tested result</li> <li>2. Used to promote the health related issues</li> <li>3. It can be further studied for more investigation</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<p>References are not sufficient and were written in poor form. I have the following comment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Write references in the same form/style (numbering or in text based on the journal's guideline. For example reference [57] and [58] were written in number. In addition, there are no 57 and 58 in the reference list.</li> <li>2. Add reference where necessary. e.g. the last paragraph of introduction section and some points in the result and discussion</li> </ol>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Not suitable. It needs checking and rewriting specifically spelling	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Here are untouched points to be considered. <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. What were the samples used for antioxidant assays?</li><li>2. What methods you used for vitamins B analyses?</li><li>3. What method you used for zinc analysis?</li><li>4. Mention Table 2, Table 4, and figures in statements</li><li>5. Is Table 3 your finding or literature? If it is your finding/result why didn't you mention some vitamins like B2, B6, and B9 in statement? Without modification of this, it falsifies your data.</li><li>6. In statement you mentioned that Table 3 contains vitamins and zinc. Where is zinc in Table 3? Make modifications!</li><li>7. There are only two figures. But you labelled 3 figures? Make correction</li><li>8. What about the mineral (zinc) in your finding?</li><li>9. What was your evidence to conduct animal studies to investigate the effectiveness of this beverage in enhancing immunity?</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adamu Tizazu Yadeta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mekdela Amba University, Ethiopia</b>