

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126659
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DEVELOPMENT OF PEANUT PRODUCTION IN TURKEY AND OSMANIYE
Type of the Article	

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is thoroughly researched and presents a well-rounded analysis of peanut production in Turkey, underpinned by precise data from credible sources. The authors have skilfully integrated statistical evidence with a clear narrative, allowing for an in-depth examination of both the regional and national significance of peanut cultivation. The focus on Osmaniye, a key player in this sector, provides valuable insights into how regional agricultural practices can drive broader economic impact. Additionally, the exploration of geographic indications adds a significant dimension to the study, highlighting the value of local branding in enhancing market competitiveness. The manuscript is an excellent example of applied agricultural research with practical implications for sustaining local economies through crop diversification.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "The Development of Peanut Production in Turkey and Osmaniye," is well-suited to the manuscript's content. It accurately conveys the study's focus on both the national and regional trends in peanut production. For further specificity, a title such as "Agricultural Evolution and Economic Impact of Peanut Production in Turkey: A Regional Focus on Osmaniye" may provide added clarity regarding the study's scope and economic considerations. Nonetheless, the current title remains clear and academically appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract successfully encapsulates the main findings and significance of the study. It provides a succinct yet comprehensive overview, effectively setting the context for the manuscript's contributions to agricultural research. However, including a few additional points on the study's recommendations, such as enhancing market organization or expanding peanut-based product lines, would enrich the abstract. This would offer potential readers a more complete picture of the study's practical applications and insights into the economic benefits of diversifying peanut production beyond traditional uses.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure is logical and well-organized, with clear subsections that enhance readability and comprehension. Each section builds upon the previous one, allowing for a cohesive progression from historical trends to regional analyses and recommendations. The authors have succeeded in establishing a clear methodological foundation, with data analysis presented in a way that supports the study's conclusions. If feasible, a dedicated section detailing the economic implications of geographic indication could further substantiate the work's value. Overall, the structure is scientifically sound, with each component contributing to a holistic and comprehensive analysis.	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor through its meticulous use of long-term data and its alignment with existing literature on agricultural practices and regional development. The authors have employed an objective and data-driven approach, effectively linking shifts in peanut production to broader trends in the agricultural sector. This study is technically sound, with data drawn from reliable sources and presented in a statistically coherent manner. The inclusion of geographic, climatic, and economic factors that influence peanut cultivation further underscores the scientific robustness of the work. This study is a valuable contribution to agricultural science and economic geography, providing insights that are not only scientifically valid but also practically applicable.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references cited in this manuscript are both sufficient and recent, ensuring that the study is well-grounded in current scientific understanding. The selected sources are relevant and provide substantial support for the research, covering key aspects of peanut production, agricultural economics, and geographic indications. Adding recent studies on the role of mechanization in peanut cultivation and studies on agricultural market organization could further strengthen the manuscript's foundation. However, the existing references sufficiently support the study's methodology, analysis, and conclusions, reinforcing its academic credibility and relevance.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of this manuscript is generally high and well-suited for scholarly communication. The authors have used a formal and scientific tone, aligning with academic standards and ensuring clarity. However, there are a few minor grammatical and syntactical issues that could benefit from careful editing to enhance readability. Additionally, some sentences could be refined for smoother transitions and greater precision, thereby ensuring that the narrative flow is seamless and maintains a consistent academic tone. Overall, the manuscript's language quality is commendable and largely meets the expectations of a scholarly article.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>For added depth, the authors might consider expanding on specific market opportunities for peanut products beyond snacks, as these insights could prove valuable for stakeholders seeking to diversify and stabilize the market. Additionally, the inclusion of a discussion on the potential environmental benefits of peanut farming within crop rotations and its implications for sustainable agriculture could further enrich the manuscript. These are purely optional enhancements; the current scope and content are sufficiently comprehensive and impactful as presented.</p> <p>Based on the manuscript's scientific rigor, clarity, and relevance to the field, I would rate this work with an 8.8 out of 10. The study provides a valuable contribution to understanding peanut production trends in Turkey, with a well-structured analysis and robust use of data. Minor language revisions and slight structural adjustments would further enhance its readability and scholarly impact. Therefore, I recommend it for Minor Revision</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Marieta Georgieva Stefanova</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy, Republic of Bulgaria (Bulgaria)</b></p>