

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_125721
Title of the Manuscript:	Migration as a Catalyst of Change: The Impact of Indian Labour Migration to the GCC
Type of the Article	Original article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is topical and relevant as many countries experience the same issues. The article reveals migration reasons and consequences. This kind research is important for local governments as the research results could assist local governments to plan further work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title clearly explains the scope of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not show used methods for data collection and processing. Finding and conclusions are not presented	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article structure is appropriate. A reader can easily follow the article development.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-structured and well-written. However, the main problem with this manuscript is the use of references. In the whole work, only 4 references are mentioned in the text. And only one article is cited in the appropriate way. Three remaining cited articles cannot be found in the list of references, e.g. World Bank, 2018; KMS, 2016; National Family Health Survey,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The list of references is outdated. The latest reference refers to 2018. Today is October 2024.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	English fits to the scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript needs adjustment in terms of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Use of contemporary references,</li> <li>- In-text citing when describing used methods</li> <li>- In-text citing as the list of references contains about 30 references</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jelena Zascerinska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia</b>