

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_125998
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular detection of Integrons I and II in some clinical isolates from Niger Delta University Teaching Hospital, Okolobiri, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is important in terms of choosing the topic, as Integron 1 and 2 are potential sources for transmitting and spreading the resistance genes and cascades in the microbial world. It is important to determine their prevalence in pathogenic bacteria and subsequent role in the dissemination of antimicrobials resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. Regarding the antibiotic susceptibility testing, this test is mentioned only in the abstract, it is not mentioned in any part or section else in the manuscript. Checking is needed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are (in general). The introduction is too long, while the discussion is too short and brief. Revision is needed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and correct, as the research methods used are based on reliable scientific sources in the field of antimicrobials resistance. However, it needs some simple corrections, especially in terms of language and spelling, which have been identified and fixed in the text in the form of comments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are recent, generally. More recent references need to be added. The method of writing references must be uniformed and according to journal style.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, generally the language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications. There are some words need to be revised and corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmood Zeki Al-Hasso
Department, University & Country	College of Science, University of Mosul, Iraq