

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_126160
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-vaccination alteration in meningococcus disease rate in the US from 1980-2019
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The data in this article is of great importance for scientists. The produced article needs more profound ameliorations concerning the study design and the presentation of the results obtained.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Study design : You need to add more information on the type of study , more precisions on the design And also elaborate more on the criteria of judgment</p> <p>Methodology: Place data collection procedures before analysis and add more precision</p> <p>Results: Your results are just like a conclusion give more details on the data collected and new information produced from analysed data.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>NO Put more subsections in the materials and methods.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The fact of using data of a long period (about 40 years) constitutes a force for the study. The design used is not appropriate to show the impact of vaccination on the reduction of incidence of meningitis. The discussion section does not address natural changes in the epidemiology of meningitis which can explain the decrease of incidence of meningitis.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>References used are pretty old. For the webpage reference, put the name of the organisation as author, if it is not possible to find a published article to support the information. Suggested references: Burman, C., Findlow, J., Marshall, H. S., & Safadi, M. A. P. (2023). National and regional differences in meningococcal vaccine recommendations for individuals at an increased risk of meningococcal disease. <i>Expert Review of Vaccines</i>, 22(1), 839-848. https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2023.2245467</p> <p>Pinto Cardoso, G., Lagrée-Chastan, M., Caseris, M., Gaudelus, J., Haas, H., Leroy, J.-P., Bakhache, P., Pujol, J.-F., Werner, A., Dommergues, M.-A., Pauquet, E., & Piquier, D. (2022). Overview of meningococcal epidemiology and national immunization programs in children and adolescents in 8 Western European countries. <i>Frontiers in Pediatrics</i>, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.1000657</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babinne Graobe Bonaventure
Department, University & Country	Higher Institute of Sciences, Health Technics and Management of Garoua, Cameroon