

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127374
Title of the Manuscript:	Wasps damage bark of lowland apple and stone fruit trees in Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For a short research article, the manuscript is wonderfully written. The manuscript draws attention as a first report, towards two species of wasps that causes damaged to the fruit plantations in Himachal Pradesh which a good finding. However, the writings need to be specific, either they are focusing infestation on tree as a whole, or on fruits, or fruit barks? Somewhere, it's confusing. Also, sampling methods in the scientific study needs to be more specific and methodology can be improved in future studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title needs to be improved. The author proclaims the findings as a first, why not address it as a first report in the title? Eg. First report on the bark feeding wasps species on lowland apple and stone fruit trees of Himachal Pradesh	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Satisfactory	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Satisfactory	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Satisfactory for a short research article. Yes, majorly the references look recent.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Provide co-ordinates for study locations used.2. Scientific names of the trees are mandatory in scientific writings.3. What is the specific rationale behind recording those two wasp's species? Where there no any another species observed?4. Figures needs to be numbered. Eg. In Figure 2, it is unknown which is what species. Also, in figure 3, both the figure looks similar, why give two of the same figures, also specify what the figure represents?5. The sampling highlights numerous sample, but the only few figures are given to justify the findings. Why so? More figures would suffice the arguments.6. In conclusion, same things are repeated as per Results/Discussion. Needs to rectify.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arup Khakhlari
Department, University & Country	Assam Don Bosco University, India