

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_127189
Title of the Manuscript:	Research progress of magnesium phosphate cement
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In my view about the “Research progress of magnesium phosphate cement” manuscript: The manuscript including allot of scientific in correction, and should be major revision if not I m not accept for publication with out major revision.</p> <p>This paper entitled “Research progress of magnesium phosphate cement” will be possible for publication after Major revision</p> <p>Reviewer comment: Major revision</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1- The manuscript title shoud be revised and will expand according to the manuscript structure.2- The keywords should be capitalaized, as well as keywords number is better 5-6 word instead 7 word. To do of it, please write the journal guide lines3- The paper structure should be prepare as the journal style, and visit the journal guide lines as well as the references should be revised according to the journal style.4- In the introduction section “^[2]The construction industry is also transitioning to cleaner technologies, materials and adhesives.” Please revise the cited reference [2].5- In the Preparation of magnesium phosphate cement section, please revise the parked “Phosphates are mainly ammonium dihydrogen phosphate $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$”.6- In the Preparation of magnesium phosphate cement section , please write the abbeviration of MKPC.7- In the introduction section, I will recoment the author to drawing the magnesium phosphate cement structure using the various software.8- Hydration mechanism of magnesium phosphate cement section, the Mg^{2+} should be write as ‘Mg^{2+}’ as well as ‘PO_4’9- The Table 1, shouls be prepared in text instead image.10- The author have been reitten ‘The detailed results are shown in Fig.’ please what Fig number ?11- What is M/P abbeviration ?12- Zheng^[37] et al. Please correct the reference number befor et al.13- H^+ should be write such as H^+, as well as (H^+ , H_2PO_4^- , HPO_4^{2-} , etc.)14- I suggest the authore cite the bellow references to rich your manuscript: <p>https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=QbTDmDoAAAAJ&citation_for_view=QbTDmDoAAAAJ:kNdYlx-mwKoC</p> <p>https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=QbTDmDoAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=QbTDmDoAAAAJ:mB3voiENLucC</p> <p>https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=QbTDmDoAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=QbTDmDoAAAAJ:R3hNpaxXUhUC</p> <p>The abstract, I suggest the abstract too more should be revised.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebaz Obaidkareem
Department, University & Country	College of Science, University of Halabja, Iraq