

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_127208
Title of the Manuscript:	Revit-Midas Civil model conversion method and application based on rectangular aqueduct superstructure
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community.	This study proposes a model conversion framework that integrates the state-of-the-art modeling capabilities and secondary development features of Revit with the structural calculation and analysis capabilities of Midas Civil that are important to the scientific community. That's what reviewers think, because of the details discussing these aspects, the manuscript is scientifically and technically strong, and important to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes, the title is appropriate for this article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?	This paper provides a detailed introduction to the principles of the M2R program for model conversion based on Revit, information interaction, an outline of algorithms for data processing, and the development of a conversion interface for superstructure models of aquifers from Revit to Midas. This is why the reviewer feels that this manuscript is scientifically strong and technically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references in the manuscript are proper, recent, and sufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The reviewer thinks the article's language and English quality suit scholarly communication but requires a minor revision.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>F. A. Samiul Islam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uttara University, Bangladesh</b>