

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_127208
Title of the Manuscript:	Revit-Midas Civil model conversion method and application based on rectangular aqueduct superstructure
Type of the Article	Review Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The author has set up a conversion model integrating Revit and Midas Civil software. He established a development program based on the C# programming language on the Visual Studio 2022 platform. The study thus contributed to improving the performance of designers' work by reducing the scope of the design and dimensioning work.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is concise, clear and allows the understanding of the study	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is acceptable. Nevertheless, it is desirable that it be presented to clearly show the following four parts: - problem - Objective - Methodology - Results - possible exploitation of the results	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Subsections and handwritten structures are acceptable. It is nevertheless desirable to display a DISCUSSION title as follows: <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> or better <b>4. DISCUSSION</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This scientific study is part of the logic of applied research. The methodology adopted respects scientific rigor. The results obtained are directly applicable for improving the working conditions of designers.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and also recent works are used.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the article is acceptable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Amey Kossi Bollanigni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universite de Lome, Institut Formatec, Togo</b>