

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126086
Title of the Manuscript:	Nameeta - A newly developed Hibiscus rosa-sinensis L. cultivar from AJC Bose Indian Botanic Garden, West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes to the development of quantitative and qualitative production of hibiscus in Asian countries with a climate suitable for the cultivation of this plant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To document the economic feasibility, adding the productivity of this genetic structure, and the concentration of total anthocyanins compounds in calyx leaves is preferable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hybridization between the two varieties of this plant, which cross-pollinated is scientifically, and practically possible in producing a new hybrid plant with characteristics that may be superior to the mothers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes appropriate.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes appropriate.	
Optional/General comments	---	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maheer H.S. Al-Mohammad
Department, University & Country	Al-Qasim Green University, Iraq