

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_127313
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Medicinal Plants on Cardiac Health Compared to Standard Pharmaceuticals Available in Bangladesh: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I do not understand why the introduction is segmented with headings? The reference used are old, wondering if they are not recent articles? The fact we have a segmented introduction is not allowing to follow the history Poor referencing at the level of cardiovascular diseases which is the most important part of the work The work is some times personalized The work need to be read by other persons	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	no	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not suitable for publication The methodology is absent The discussion is poorly done	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References can be improved	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dongmo Nguepi Mireille Sylviane
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon