

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMPS_127071 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF PARSONSIA STRAMINEA (R.Br) F. MUEL STEM BARK TOXICOLOGICAL IMPACT ON THE LIVER IN MICE |
| Type of the Article | Research work |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is a good research work as it will go a long way in helping the traditional medicine known that the plant is save to those who uses the plant locally. It will also serve as basis for further research work in what the plant extract has been known to be potent for without any fear of Toxixity | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | I will like to suggest that the title should be reframed as "TOXICOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF <i>Parsonsia straminea</i> (R.Br) F. MUEL STEM BARK ON THE LIVER IN MICE" instead of ASSESSMENT OF PARSONSIA STRAMINEA (R.Br) F. MUEL STEM BARK TOXICOLOGICAL IMPACT ON THE LIVER IN MICE | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | It is okay | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | They are appropriate to the best of my knowledge | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The methodology used is in line with recent research methods, result obtained were of good quality, clear and well presented. The manuscript equally has a structure. The citation was also in line too. The manuscript has clarity and it is precise. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. = | Some of the references are very recent while few are not though, they are very okay and sufficient for the publication of this journal | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of the language used is okay and some few occasion that is not clear has been highlighted for the author(s) to work on in the manuscript. | |
| Optional/General comments | The research work is a good one and it will definitely contribute to knowledge when published PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Jegade Rotimi Jude |
| Department, University & Country | Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria |