

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_127071
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PARSONSIA STRAMINEA (R.Br) F. MUEL STEM BARK TOXICOLOGICAL IMPACT ON THE LIVER IN MICE
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This plant may contain compounds that have medicinal or therapeutic properties. It may contain compounds that have biological activity, such as antifungals or antibacterials, Therefore, this research shows the extent of the effect of this plant on the body and whether it is safe to use or not.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research title is good, the abstract is good, all parts of the research is consistent	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are modern and some are old, where we noted that some of them in the seventies, some in the eighties, and some in the nineties. It is preferable that most of them be modern.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The discussion is brief and should contain more details • Conclusions should contain additional details • working methods must be mentioned in brief because it contains very boring and lengthy details, while the focus should be on the results and discussion 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaa Hussein Hasan
Department, University & Country	Ibn Sina University of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Iraq