

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126798
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Current Trends in Vaccine Development: Perspectives from India and International Research</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>**Clarity and Structure**</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>**Abstract**</b>: Simplify and shorten the abstract to provide a clear and direct overview of the study, including the main objectives, methods, key findings, and conclusions.</li> <li>- <b>**Introduction**</b>: Expand the introduction with additional background and literature to better set the stage for the research question and emphasize its significance.</li> <li>- <b>**Conclusion**</b>: Strengthen the conclusion to clearly highlight the implications and possible applications of the study's findings.</li> </ul> <p><b>**Literature and References**</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>**Literature Review**</b>: Incorporate relevant and recent studies to provide a more comprehensive context for the research.</li> <li>- <b>**Citations**</b>: Make sure all assertions are properly supported with citations, particularly in the Introduction and Discussion sections.</li> <li>- <b>**Reference Balance**</b>: Include a wider range of studies to avoid over-reliance on only a few sources and ensure a balanced perspective.</li> </ul> <p><b>**Writing Style and Language**</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>**Grammar and Clarity**</b>: Pay attention to grammar and sentence structure to ensure clarity, as confusing language can make the paper difficult to read.</li> <li>- <b>**Technical Language**</b>: Limit technical jargon and complex terms where possible to enhance accessibility for readers outside the specific subfield.</li> <li>- <b>**Conciseness**</b>: Streamline content by reducing redundant sections, especially if points are repeated or overly detailed.</li> </ul> <p><b>**Figures, Tables, and Supplementary Materials**</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>**Figure Quality**</b>: Improve the quality of figures or images by enhancing resolution, labeling, and captions for better comprehension.</li> <li>- <b>**Supplementary Materials**</b>: Consider adding supplementary materials for additional methodology, calculations, or raw data to provide greater transparency.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Ranjit Nath</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>India</b></p>