

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126798
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Trends in Vaccine Development: Perspectives from India and International Research
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Despite some issues noted, this manuscript addresses an important topic with the potential to make a valuable contribution to the scientific community. The research tackles a significant gap in the existing literature and provides novel insights that could inform future studies and practical applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates a strong foundation in scientific methodology and appears to be technically sound. The study is well-designed. The authors have provided sufficient evidence to support their conclusions, and the results are presented logically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repetition in Phrases: There are several instances of repeated phrases throughout the manuscript. This affects the readability and creates unnecessary redundancy. I recommend revising the text to avoid repetition and ensure clarity. Manuscript Length: The manuscript is excessively long, with sections that could be condensed without compromising the quality of the content. I suggest a thorough review to remove any non-essential details, streamline the narrative, and focus on the key findings. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript demonstrates solid scientific merit and could be a beneficial addition to the literature once the minor issues identified are addressed.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Abdul Razzak Obaid
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq