

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126942
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in The Perspective of Indonesian Law: Subject or Object of Law?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The importance of AI use lies in its ability to transform industries, enhance efficiency, and solve complex problems. However, responsible use is crucial to ensure ethical standards, protect individual rights, and prevent unintended harm. Establishing clear guidelines for AI use supports innovation while maintaining accountability and public trust. This study explore the legal position of AI in Indonesia, as well as analyze the legal implications that may arise from this status. I like this manuscript due to it's relevance in supporting decision making regarding its status as a legal subject or object in Indonesia and also to the world in general.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, the abstract captured all necessary components of an abstract in a logical form of representation.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Sections need to be reorganised (such as Literature Review section should come before the Research Methodology) and numbering should be revisited including that of the sub-sections.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The question of who bears legal responsibility for losses caused by AI, highlighting the need for a legal framework to guide AI development, usage, and accountability is a reason why this research will scientifically contribute to AI legal frameworks under development in Indonesia as well as many developed and developing nations. While some in the AI industry worry that regulations could stifle innovation, legal protections are essential to safeguard individuals' rights when AI actions lead to harm. The ongoing debate about AI's legal status whether it should be viewed as an object or a subject of law continues, with some experts suggesting AI should be seen as an object, though recent research opens the possibility of AI as a legal subject.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>References are sufficient. However, I want to suggest that the author should study and reference the document "Ten UNESCO Recommendations on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence "</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Although, the Author studied and acknowledged the effort made by European Union in establishing an AI act to provide regulations in the use of AI as an object, other developments like that of China should be visited. I will suggest that a section be dedicated in the LR part to highlight the developments made so far by other countries (Like America and China) in the establishments of AI laws.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mansir Abubakar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>