

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126817
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Computer Literacy Skills Among Barangay Secretaries and Treasurers of Eastern lines Barangays of Maco Through Comprehensive Workshop
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is meaningful and significant; it fills an important gap in expanding the area of computer literacy among barangay secretaries and treasurers in Maco, Davao de Oro, Philippines, showing that targeted training dramatically improves the digital skills of the subjects in the study. The major importance of the study is highlighted through the demonstration of a clear difference in technological efficacy extending from low to moderate levels, the latter being very evident after the conducting of a seminar-workshop, illustrating the successful outcome of intervention programs to favorably enhance the local government employees' digital capabilities. Newfound skills lead to not only better performance delivery of services among the local government employees but also an incessant reminder to build capacity for local governance. These findings pose a valuable model for further similar initiatives and feed into policy decisions focused on building technological skills within public service.</p> <p>Limitations of the study include small sample size and self-reported data which can be biased.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is descriptive and clear but little lengthy, if possible can be concise.</p> <p>Improving digital literacy of Barangay Secretaries and Treasurers of Eastern lines Barangays of Maco through comprehensive training: a study</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract looks good. Overall, the abstract is concise, informative, and effectively communicates the significance of the study's findings</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Subsections and structures are proper</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The clear research design and methodology give it a scientific feel and technical robustness. Using a quantitative descriptive comparative approach gives the study a more accurate, bottom-down analysis of the computer literacy level of barangay secretaries and treasurers prior to and after the intervention. In particular, the use of mean scores, a mathematical mean derived from the sampled performance data, to gauge respondents' skills ensure quantifiable findings with relative comparison. Complementing this is the significant degree of statistical improvement in literacy scores, which assures the peculiar method and the dependability of the retrievable results. The methods used for data collection and analysis bring credibility and scientific merit to the paper.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Can be added latest references on digital literacy of special community or section. Like Institutiones Administrationis – Journal of Administrative Sciences Vol. 4 (2024) No. 1, 56–73 Article is licensed under CC BY 4.0 © 2024 The Author(s) DOI: 10.54201/iajas.v4i1.93. From Tech Skills to Performance Gains: How Digital Literacy Drives Productivity Improvements in the Public Sector</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper grammatically sound but sentences clarity can be improved by braking the complex sentences.	
Optional/General comments	But here, it is to be noted that the manuscript needs to be developed by an extended literature review, including updated studies that could place the findings in relation to current trends in digital literacy training. Session on recommendations is real in relation to improving instructional practice; however, it could be done up as guiding principles on on-going support and monitoring of the long-term impact of training.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mandira Bairagi
Department, University & Country	DVR and Dr HS MIC College of Technology, India