

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_126654
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive Rehabilitation of Multiple Missing Teeth in Severe Early Childhood Caries - A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This case report highlights the significance of comprehensive rehabilitation in children with severe early childhood caries (S-ECC), emphasizing the importance of removable partial dentures in restoring oral function, aesthetics, and overall health. The manuscript contributes to the scientific community by demonstrating a multidisciplinary approach to treating S-ECC and showcasing the benefits of prosthetic rehabilitation in children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Comprehensive Rehabilitation of Multiple Missing Teeth in Severe Early Childhood Caries - A Case Report"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract effectively summarizes the case report, including the patient's presentation, treatment, and outcomes. However, adding more details on the prosthetic rehabilitation process and materials used could enhance its comprehensiveness.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are well-organized, making it easy to follow. However, consider adding subsections on "Prosthetic Rehabilitation" and "Follow-up and Maintenance" to improve clarity.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness, providing a clear and detailed description of the case report. The authors have adequately referenced relevant literature to support their treatment approach.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, providing a solid foundation for the manuscript. Consider adding more studies on the long-term outcomes of prosthetic rehabilitation in children with S-ECC.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Provide more details on the materials used for prosthetic rehabilitation.</li> <li>2. Include images or diagrams of the prosthetic rehabilitation process.</li> <li>3. Discuss potential limitations and challenges of the treatment approach.</li> <li>4. Consider adding a section on patient satisfaction and quality of life outcomes.</li> <li>5. Ensure consistency in formatting and citation style throughout the manuscript.</li> </ol>	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roocha Shah
Department, University & Country	College of Dental Sciences and research centre, Bopal, India