

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127213
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Development and Phenology of Linseed as influenced by different Organic Amendments
Type of the Article	Original Research Work

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The present research work is essential as it explores sustainable agricultural practices that can enhance linseed growth and productivity. This study can contribute to improving soil health, reducing chemical input, and promoting eco-friendly crop management strategies.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title may be modified (if yield data is included) as "Effect of different organic ammendments on growth and yield attributes of Linseed [ <i>Linum usitatissimum</i> (L.)]"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Correct as per the comments mentioned in the manuscript.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, some minor suggestions are mentioned in the paper.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, employing well-structured methods and statistical analysis to demonstrate the impact of various organic amendments on linseed growth attributes. Its findings provide reliable insights into sustainable agricultural practices for linseed production.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes, minor suggestions are mentioned in the paper.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Naresh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR- CAFRI, India</b>