

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126728
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Phosphorus and Zinc Application on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The study indicates the importance of phosphate and zinc fertilization to increase the chickpea productivity of the lands under study - Determine the optimal rate of fertilizer to reduce the cost of production from economic studies - The researcher conducted a study on the effect of adding levels of phosphorus and zinc on the productivity of chickpeas growing in loamy lands to improve production by studying the appropriate rates and calculating the economics of adding these rates. . 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influence of Phosphorus and Zinc Application on Growth and productivity of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Keywords must not be similar to the words in the title of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is need to modification to accept both technically and scientifically, The researcher conducted a study on the effect of adding levels of phosphorus and zinc on the productivity of chickpeas growing in loamy lands to improve production by studying the appropriate rates and calculating the economics of adding these rates. .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are very old and it is preferable to update.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	There are some corrections that researchers should pay attention to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Must be mentioned the methods which used to conduct soil analysis ▪ Must be mentioned the statistical design ▪ Must be written the Equations used in calculating the economic part of the study. ▪ Must be written the Equations used in calculating crop measurements ▪ Must be rewrite the introduction and focus on the objective from the study ▪ Why do not interaction between Phosphorus and Zinc application ▪ What the method which you are application for P and Zn ▪ Must be study P and Zn content in plant and soil. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashraf Ahmed Mohamed Habib
Department, University & Country	Desert Research Centre, Egypt