

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126901
Title of the Manuscript:	Fodder Grasses and Irrigation Strategies: A Pathway to Fodder Sustainability in India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. suitable for scientific Community 2. address vital issues on Ruminant animal nutrition ie fodder production 3. Review Challenges and ways of fodder crop production through irrigation in India 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate, differentiate introduction from discussion, Subsection and section can be written with numbering particularly in the paragraph that contains Challenges in fodder cultivation	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is direct to the point 2. Introduction describe the current situation of fodder production in India 3. The conclusion summaries the need for fodder production through irrigation strategies for ruminant animal in Indian rural community 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language Quality is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nweze Basil Obasi
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Nigeria