

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_126438
Title of the Manuscript:	Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy Induced by Severe Anaphylactic Reaction During Anesthesia Induction
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Takotsubo syndrome is a well-known disease, and cardiologists are already familiar with all of its features. Regrettably, this report does not offer any important insights to enhance our understanding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Takotsubo syndrome can be induced by anesthesia induction or epinephrine administration. Therefore, it is important to mention "Takotsubo following Severe Anaphylactic Reaction During Anesthesia Induction" to clarify the trigger for the condition.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the introduction, it is necessary to introduce your case in relation to the disease mentioned in the title. I recommend mentioning the possible connection between anaphylaxis and Takotsubo syndrome in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is acceptable	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As I mentioned before, it is a well-written report, but we don't have sufficient documentation to conclude the exact cause of cardiomyopathy induction. It is not clear whether it is epinephrine-induced or anaphylaxis-induced. I think the author should consider this issue and provide more scientific suggestions in this regard.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. It is acceptable	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. it is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alireza Malekrah
Department, University & Country	Mazandaran University of Medical Science, Iran