

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126859
Title of the Manuscript:	Clonal Diversity and Spatial Dissemination of Drug-Resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex among HIV Seropositive Patients in Southwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research study

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research article highlights the occurrence of TB among Nigerian population. The manuscript is found to be incomplete in many terms.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. mention the subheadings like: Introduction, material & methods, result, conclusion.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mention about the sample size calculation formula used. Intext citation of the article used for the calculation of sample. Mention about the sampling used in the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. It needs a lot of language polishing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A lot many corrections are required in the ABSTRACT. Many important information like research design, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample calculation, sample selection techniques, research methodology all are not at all mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient. Mention about the DATABASE which you used for getting data.	
Minor REVISION comments	Need more of scientific language usage.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Verma
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markendeshwar College of Nursing, India