

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126856
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytokines Levels and Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance among HIV Seropositive Patients in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically this study is fine and interesting in terms of data capturing and the tests included but the significant p value isnt found in any aspect to make it very relevant for future refrence. I like the aspect covered but consider it an average paper in terms of the way tables and result is presented and also nothing significant is coming out of it. No comment on control group has been made in material and methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Title given is Cytokines Levels and Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance among HIV Seropositive Patients in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria.</u> <u>Better could be cytokines and interlukin level in TB positive patients coinfectd with HIV</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Table 2 and 3 are non comprehensible and table 4,5,6 has no significant p value and few tables can be merged to give better presentation(table 2and 3, 4 and 5)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>yes</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically this study is fine and interesting in terms of data capturing but the significantportion isnt anyting worth so overall it can be an average paper and better outcome can be obtained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<u>The way of writing bibliography is not as per standard and newer latest studies can be quoted.</u>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	average english with mistakes in terms of spacing and verbs . Can be corrected to make it more appealing	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	study area doesnt mention hospital number of beds , private or govt aided etc. longitute latitute doesnt matter. Study group was random time bound or case bound? Hasnt been clarified sample collection method needs to be quoted from standard textbook(hence refrence needed) mentioned are species of mycobacterium tb and bovis and NTM etc in results but how did they managed to do that isnt mentioned. No details of control group and its features mentioned.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shikha Garg</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, India</b>