

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126856
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytokines Levels and Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance among HIV Seropositive Patients in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Research Observation study.

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses the interrelation of tuberculosis (TB) and HIV co-infection, which remains a significant public health challenge, particularly in regions with high HIV prevalence. The study's focus on cytokine levels and their association with anti-tuberculosis drug resistance provides insights into the immune mechanisms that contribute to the development of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB).	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract exceeded the publication guidelines. Maximum number not more than 300. But the abstract is 442. The abstract can be made more precise by deleting some points such as introduction can be reduced. Absence of structure in abstract.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Methodology not included, All the tables must be uniform as per the guideline table 2 and 3 does not satisfy the guidelines. Value P is not given in capitals and not represented in italics. P value representation should be uniform through out the manuscript.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript titled "Cytokines Levels and Anti-tuberculosis Drug Resistance among HIV Seropositive Patients in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria" demonstrates scientific robustness as the study's design and methodology provide a clear framework for collecting and analyzing data from a significant sample size of 217 patients. The use of established protocols for sputum and blood sample collection, as well as the application of the GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay and culture methods, ensures reliable and reproducible results. The results are presented with sufficient detail, including mean values, standard deviations, and p-values, which support the validity of the conclusions drawn. Lastly, the discussion effectively integrates the findings with existing literature, highlighting the implications of cytokine levels in the development of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) among people living with HIV. Overall, the manuscript is comprehensive, methodologically sound, and contributes valuable insights to the field of infectious diseases and immunology.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>YES</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need to be improved	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>N V Chenchu Lakshmi K</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KL College of Pharmacy, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation(Deemed to be University), India</b>