

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126856
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytokines Levels and Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance among HIV Seropositive Patients in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a manuscript of great medical importance, MTB and HIV are still very relevant co-infecting partners. With the emergency of so many other related bacterial, fungal and viral co-infecting partners in the HIV cohort of patients, it's easy to forget about the MTB/HIV associated problems. However this article is a good remind to that effect	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I must say, title does not reflect the body of the work. The author should labour to connect the two. In my first reading before I could dive in I thought this was about cytokine infiltration contributing to the exacerbation of the MTB and drug resistance in the end. The objectives of the study do not come out clearly	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I personally feel the Abstract over explanatory, to many words, the author is ought to stick to the normal guidelines. Let him keep to the 350-word limit. Some statements could be kept out of the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I am not sure this is out the journal would want the author to arrange the results section, I find that the author did not do the results section well and may require serious revision especially on how he arranges the tables, separating the text from individual tables	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The science of the manuscript seems authentic but the communication overall looks non direction. Can the author make the objectives of the this work clear and hence write the science out accordingly?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references seems okay especially the MTB ones but for Cytokines may require beefing	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author may need to perform a grammatical check for this	
Optional/General comments	Le the author rewrite this manuscript with clear distinctive objectives, because at the moment, there is information but its not communicating well with the reader	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Herbert Itabangi
Department, University & Country	Busitema University, Uganda