

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_127323
Title of the Manuscript:	Dietary value of wild and semi-wild edible fruits in Northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights the dietary value of wild and semi-wild edible fruits in Northwest Tigray, Ethiopia, emphasizing their role in food security and nutrition. It documents their nutrient content and traditional processing, showcasing their potential as affordable, accessible nutrient sources. The study also preserves indigenous knowledge and supports sustainable resource use, offering a foundation for future research on combating malnutrition and conserving biodiversity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I want to suggest a new title for this manuscript. Title - "Assessment of Nutritional Composition of Wild and Semi-Wild Edible Fruits in Northwest Tigray, Ethiopia	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Kindly provide additional details about the methodology, specifically regarding the selection process and samples( in Abstract).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust as it provides comprehensive insights into the dietary value of wild and semi-wild edible fruits, which are often overlooked in mainstream nutrition research. The study is grounded in detailed analyses of the nutrient profiles of these fruits, highlighting their potential as alternative sources of essential vitamins and minerals. By addressing both the nutritional and ecological significance of these fruits, the manuscript effectively bridges the gap between biodiversity conservation and human health.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It's Enough	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good topic for research	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushma Kumari
Department, University & Country	Vinoba Bhave University, India