

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_125621
Title of the Manuscript:	PEDIATRIC SOFT TISSUE ORAL LESIONS: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Paediatric mucosal lesions are well known. Clinicians play key role in educating the patient and the parents about the nature of these oral pathologies and whether treatment is warranted. This article provides a compilation of such findings and guides clinicians towards appropriate diagnosis and management of the lesions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is direct and appropriate explaining what information the article holds.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Sentences can be a little short and crisp. Consider combining 2 nd and 3 rd statements of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. Providing the overview of the classification in a tabular form at the end of the INTRODUCTION section will add value to the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The details provided in the article are quite descriptive and consider a wide range of oral lesions seen in paediatric patients. Each of the lesion is well described in terms of clinical and radiographic nature also giving insight on the aetiology and management. These features are adequate to understand the nature of the lesions and give a proper diagnosis and treatment plan.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Avoid the use of long sentences. Consider writing direct, to the point sentences.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivani Singh
Department, University & Country	Nair Hospital Dental College, India