

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116509
Title of the Manuscript:	RHIZOSPHERE ASSOCIATED BACTERIA IN BIOREMEDIATION OF CRUDE OIL POLLUTED AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important for the scientific community it reveals that hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria isolated from plant rhizosphere have the potential to degrade crude oil hence can be applied in bioremediation of polluted sites. suggested Title: "Crude oil degradative potential of rhizosphere bacteria isolated from plants in oil polluted aquatic environment" Abstract of the article is comprehensive Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate The manuscript is scientifically correct The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the scientific research work is very interesting. Author (s) should ensure to effect the corrections pointed out. The references should be consistent with the standard of the Journal in Abbreviating the Journals/Italics or writing the Journals in full without italicizing the journals.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. C Wokem
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria