

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125904
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of The Role of Income, Consumption Patterns, and Time in Shaping Food Expenditure in India
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the paper is interesting. But the write up and the analytical points should be taken care of this. The author used to consider the time period of 2004-05 to 2011-12 which is very short for a time series analysis and also out dated as the data of 2022-23 is now available in the NSSO website which should be included in the paper and it might be changed the analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but its not properly explain in the paper.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some points should be taken care of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The variables are so much interrelated in this case the problem of multicollinearity is very common which may affect the results.</li> <li>2. This analysis might be including the poor and rich persons discrimination in food consumption, urban and rural comparative analysis across the states,</li> <li>3. Analytical point of view is almost missing, whatever analysis is there is far from being focused.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This topic is very interesting but the variables consider here are not very suitable for this. By the NSSO data set its cleared that over time the consumers the more inclined towards the protein-based product rather than cereals. Then what are the new findings, are not much highlighted.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Pamela Paul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>City College, Under Calcutta University, India</b>