

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_125625
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NIGERIA'S DEBT BURDEN AND THE DIALECTICS OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT- IN THE EYES OF A THEORIST.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The paper is relevant to the economic development of a nation and particularly the case study been an underdeveloped one as debt burden hampers development and hence will deny the country of basic infrastructural development if not addressed on time.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The topic is suitable but needs an adjustment to read thus: Nigeria Debt Burden and the Dialectics of Economic Development: A Theoretical Approach.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Instrumentation is not indicated in the abstract as the author did not include structured questionnaire administered. How many questionnaires were sent out and how many were returned? <b>This study therefore investigated Nigeria's debt burden and the dialectics of economic development should be removed from the Abstract.</b> Results of the study based on the questionnaire should be stated in empirical form.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	There should be a figure before Introduction to read thus: 1. Introduction. 2. Review of Literature. 3. Methodology. 4. Results and Discussions. 5. Conclusion. 6. Recommendations. Recommendations should be clearly stated and in roman numerals so as to highlight the key elements embedded there-in.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	5-point Likert scale which was adopted for the study is suitable and relevant for the study. The research also inculcates recent literatures even up to 2024 which makes the research work current and up to date.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	The references are recent and sufficient. However, some authors were in the references which were not cited in the body of the research such as; Adejumo, A. (2021); Akpan, E. O. (2005), Nwankwo, G.O. (2005), Ogunjimi, S.O. (2019) amongst others. In addition, some authors, such as Teluwo (2018), Faseegban and Dada (2014) and Adaramola (2012) were cited more than once and even thrice on the same page of the research work.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the article is adequately suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There should be tables drawn for the structured questionnaires and discussions underneath each of the Tables to show the responses of the respondents. The results of the Questionnaires which was based on Chi-Square should be integrated into Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) so as to make the result robust as Chi Square as a statistical instrument has been over flawed.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ajayi John Ayodele</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lagos, Nigeria</b>