

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_124973
Title of the Manuscript:	Suitability of Soil and Different Agro Climatic Conditions for Growing Kinnu Crop in Special Reference of Agra Region
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a synthesis article on a citrus named Kinnow. In the introduction, the author has indicated the characteristics and importance of the Kinnow citrus. The manuscript lists climatic and edafic conditions for its growth. Pest and disease management as well as mineral and water needs of the plant were also discussed. The language is quite clear even if some sentences are too long, very few spelling mistakes. However, the reference style of the journal was not respected. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract highlights the title of the manuscript, i.e., the climate and soil type in the area of Agra that supports the growth of Kinnow. However, it would be interesting to briefly describe the plant by mentioning its ecological and climatic requirements	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The paragraphs are well organized, with a few elements that are not relevant to the topic of the paper	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a review article that highlights the edaphic and climatic requirements of Kinnow. The author also indicates fertilization and fertilization planning, irrigation, biotic constraints of Kinnow cultivation. It describes how to deal with pest pressure and plant diseases. This manuscript can serve as a technical itinerary for the cultivation of Kinnow.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The manuscript contains 36 references, 20 of which are less than ten years old.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well written and explicit, with very few spelling errors. Overall the language level is good.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author's guide must be respected with respect to the reference in the text and the end of the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N'guetta Adelaide Spouse N'guessan
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Center, Cote d'Ivoire