

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125532
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological analysis of Online Learning system in Agriculture
Type of the Article	Research Paper

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses the situation with the online education efforts during COVID 19 in Tamil Nadu state and specifically the agriculture education in the state. It provides an overview of the different institution catering to agriculture education and reports the opinions of faculty members. The study is basic and the information provided is descriptive in nature. The findings are limited and the conclusions are basic. The authors can extend the discussion further to address how online education may be made more accessible and effective for the future based on literature and data analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title seems incomplete. It should clearly mention agriculture education or teachers readiness to make it clear that it is not about continuous learning facilities for farmers.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Abstract should start with a hook to attract readers. The first sentences should be about the focus area of research or the problem background. In this case, the first sentence may be framed as below:            "Online education is the future and the readiness of teachers to handle the challenges of online education has to be understood." The authors may rephrase the abstract with focus on attracting readers to go further with the paper. The second purpose is the reporting of the study results based on first purpose. It can be better presented.</p> <p>In India, distance education is different from campus education and the impact of COVID 19 on distance education is limited compared to campus education. The authors may clarify whether the respondent (49) are from campus institutions or distance education.</p> <p>In case distance education is used to refer to remote or virtual education efforts during COVID 19, online education may be used instead of distance education as distance education is a well define domain of study in India.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction, Review of Literature, Significance of study, scope of study, proposed methodology, Data Analysis, Findings, Conclusion are the subsections of the paper. Propose Methodology may be modified as Research Methodology. Findings may be given in more detail and should be based on the analysis provided.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The methodology of descriptive study is followed by the authors. Data is collected and reported using frequency and charts.</p> <p>The paper should only limit to agriculture education. Reference to Anatomy, engineering education to be avoided unless a comparison is being drawn by authors.</p> <p>The flow chart provided should not start with the study of agriculture education during COVID 19 but with the Agriculture college in Tamil Nadu. The word "constitution of" should be corrected to "constituent"            Instead of Google Survey Tools use Survey using Google Forms</p> <p>In data analysis AGE should be given in years and not Low, Medium and High</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>References taken from another published paper (Plagiarism), Details provided below.</p> <p>The authors should refer to the notifications of Ministry of Education, UGC, ICAR, University notifications, state government regulations, etc in the paper.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is ok to read. But major errors are there in some places. For example: the second objective is quoted below: "2. To know types of on-line secession and its benefits among students" What is SECESSION? It may be SESSIONS.  It is suggested that the authors do a professional proof reading to eliminate these type of errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The entire review of literature section to be rewritten as it is copied (details given below). The focus should be on faculty readiness in Tamil Nadu Agriculture Universities and not online education perse or COVID 19.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nagapavan Chintalapati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central University of Jharkhand, India</b>