

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_127080
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the reference ranges and numerical ratios of Total Plasma Protein and Hemoglobin concentration among apparently healthy prospective blood donors in Calabar Municipality, Cross Rivers State, Nigeria : A comparative study using three Hemoglobinometers.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A nicely presented study with thorough details. Signifies importance of measuring plasma proteins along with Hb. It also shows the importance of method of estimation of Hb.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The word 'Reference range' may be replaced as values are given as mean.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The mechanism how plasma proteins affect Hb levels may be given. The clear cut exclusion and inclusion criteria need to be defined. No need of giving principle of Biuret's method as it is the standard method used for protein estimation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Satisfactory but presentation is not uniform and must conform to journal instructions.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Accepted with minor revisions. Good article though the authors seem to deviate a bit in handling and presentation of data. May be accepted.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran Dahiya
Department, University & Country	Pt. BD Sharma PGIMS, India